# Consultation draft

# Penn House and 4 Lilestone Street, NW8 - planning brief



Document title: Draft Planning Brief for the site bound by Lilestone Street

and Lisson Grove, incorporating Penn House and 4

**Lilestone Street, NW8** 

Date: September 2011

Contact

Status: Internal Working Draft
Produced by: Westminster City Council

City Planning 64 Victoria Street

London SW1E 6QP Tim Butcher

e: tbutcher01@westminster.gov.uk

t: 020 7641 5972

#### Foreword

The council is committed to setting out a clear planning framework for the development of Westminster. The objective of this planning brief is therefore to set out the council's considerations relating to the development potential of the site bound by Lilestone Street and Lisson Grove, incorporating Penn House and 4 Lilestone Street, NW8 in order to engage the local community in the planning process, and ultimately assist potential developers in drawing up development proposals.

Last year, Westminster City Council approved our Housing Renewal Strategy, and in January 2011 the council's cabinet published the "Futures Plan for Church Street, Paddington Green and Lisson Grove, Preferred Option Report". The site is identified in the Futures Plan as potentially being capable of providing 6,120m² of community floorspace, 37 new homes, and enhanced communal open space. On 27 June 2011 the council's cabinet agreed to progress Phase 1 of the Futures Plan, which includes this site. A summary of the Futures Plan is included at Appendix 1.The council is now embarking on a programme of regeneration and renewal, in accordance with the aims of the Futures Plan, in order that we may continue to offer a range of affordable housing, and create vibrant, mixed use neighbourhoods which provide good places to live and opportunities for work.

This draft brief has been prepared in response to the identification of the Penn House site for redevelopment in the first phase of that regeneration framework, in order to explore the sites planning opportunities and constraints. The Futures Plan is a key stage in the council identifying options for future development, but it is not a formal planning document.

The purpose of this draft brief is to establish guidelines for any redevelopment of this site, informed by the council's adopted Development Plan policies. It has been prepared as a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD). Its role is to provide site specific information to supplement the Development Plan, by applying the policies and the proposals outlined in Westminster's Core Strategy (January 2011), and the detailed policies which have been saved in Part 2 of Westminster's Unitary Development Plan. It also captures the development principles for the site as outlined in the London Plan (July 2011).

The site is located on the Lisson Green Estate and consists of a four storey purpose-built sheltered housing block containing 44 studio flats fronting Lisson Grove known as Penn House, and a two-storey community building at 4 Lilestone Street, containing a nursery on the upper floor. Whilst these are vital uses, protected by planning policy, the site is underutilised in its current state and could be redesigned to provide improved community services and additional housing. This draft planning brief promotes a scheme focused on delivering a more efficient use of the site, and sets out the background to bringing forward development of the site which contributes towards the council's strategic aims, in accordance with the Development Plan.

This draft brief promotes development of a scheme focused on ensuring that the protected existing nursery and sheltered housing uses are adequately reprovided locally before delivering a new building on this important street junction. New active uses, potentially a new hub of social and community uses, efficiently delivering quality public services and

presenting an active frontage at ground floor level, could act as a focal point for the community, with desirable mixed-tenure housing above.

This draft planning brief will be subject to a six week public consultation, in order that the views of residents, organisations and interested parties may inform a final draft. The comments received will be reported back to the Planning Sub-Committee (Planning Briefs and LDF) alongside the revised planning brief for consideration before being referred back to me for adoption. This enables all those who would be affected by a development to ensure their comments are taken into account as the brief is finalised and then adopted. When adopted, the brief will guide the development of proposals for the site, and become a material consideration when determining planning applications for the site.

I look forward to hearing your views.

Councillor Robert Davis DL

Deputy Leader of Westminster City Council Cabinet Member for the Built Environment

#### Contents

Foreword		2
Contents		4
Executive Summary		5
1. Introduction		6
2. The Site and Surrour	nding Location, and Planning History	8
3. Planning Policy Fram	ework	11
4. Land Use		14
5. Conservation, Town	scape and Sustainable Design	22
6. Transport, Highways	and Parking	30
7. Planning Obligations		32
Contacts and Further I	nformation	34
Glossary		36
Appendix 1 –Backgroun	d to the Futures Plan for Church Street, Paddington Green & Lisson Grove	42
Appendix 2 - Adopted p	riority groups for Intermediate Housing in the City of Westminster	46
Appendix 3 – Listed bui	ding description for 97-127 Lisson Grove	47
Appendix 4 – Affordable	Rent Statement, September 2011	48
Sources		Δ

#### **Plans**

- Map 1 Church Street Masterplan area & phase 1 sites in Westminster context
- Map 2 Site plan
- Map 3 Aerial photograph of masterplan area
- Map 4 Aerial photograph of site
- Map 5 Main land use by building 2010
- Map 6 Ground Floor retail land use 2010
- Map 7 Social and Community Facilities
- Map 8 Transport Network
- Map 9 Building Heights
- Map 10 Open Space
- Map 11 Policy Framework and Designations
- Maps 12-15 Historic plans dating from 1870, 1890, 1910 and 1950

#### **Executive Summary**

This draft planning brief sets out the council's planning policies and aspirations for the site on the corner of Lilestone Street and Lisson Grove. The site is located on the Lisson Green Estate and consists of a two-storey community building at 4 Lilestone Street, a five storey purpose-built social housing block fronting Lisson Grove, and a public space to the rear of both buildings.

The key planning principles to consider when developing proposals for the site are:

- The sheltered housing, and the nursery and vacant ground floor social and community floorspace, is protected by planning policy. Therefore if the site is to be redeveloped it must either reprovide an equivalent amount of floorspace either onsite or in the vicinity, to ensure continued provision of both services.
- Should the protected uses be reprovided, then the priority replacement use of the land will be private and affordable housing. Around one third of new housing will be family-sized (3+ bedrooms).
- A use or uses serving the needs of the local community will be required on this site.
- A use that offers training or employment opportunities will be encouraged.
- Public open space, approximately equivalent in area to that existing, should be provided. The street trees on Lisson Grove should be retained.
- A modern five storey building on Lisson Grove could be acceptable, but will need to be carefully designed to respect the existing residences.
- Access to the basement parking and servicing area could be from Lilestone Street

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. The production of and consultation on draft planning briefs is one way the council seeks to involve the local community in the planning process. They provide an opportunity for residents, businesses, local community groups, developers and stakeholders to give their comments on the possible development opportunities on a particular site. Once adopted the planning brief for this site will bring together all relevant information to guide the preparation of detailed redevelopment proposals, giving certainty to development professionals.
- 1.2. This draft planning brief has been prepared in response to the Council's aspirations set out in the Futures Plan for Church Street, Paddington Green and Lisson Grove, a masterplan for the redevelopment of several sites in the area. It is acknowledged that the Futures Plan is the council's in its role as manager and provider of local housing starting point for the development of detailed schemes on individual sites, in order to deliver its objectives of new and better housing, as well as improve the physical, social and economic prospects of the area. A planning brief has a statutory purpose though, as a Supplementary Planning Document which applies adopted Development Plan policies to a particular site in order to provide the planning context for its redevelopment.
- 1.3. The purpose of the brief is to set out the council's planning considerations relating to the potential redevelopment of the site on the corner of Lilestone Street and Lisson Grove, London NW8.. This brief applies all relevant policies as set out in the recently adopted Core Strategy (2011) and the saved policies of Westminster's Unitary Development Plan (2007), in order to guide the development of proposals. The policies contained in the emerging City Management Plan will also gain weight as a material planning consideration as the plan moves towards adoption in early 2013.
- 1.4. The site contains two buildings, a two-storey social and community facility at 4 Lilestone Street, and a four-storey sheltered housing building known as Penn House fronting Lisson Grove, containing 44 flats, with public space to the rear.



Aerial photograph showing the Penn House and 4 Lilestone Street site

- 1.5. This site is located within the North Westminster Economic Development Area (NWEDA), as designated in the adopted Core Strategy. Within this area development should contribute to increasing economic activity, or provide local services, or improve the quality and tenure mix of housing.
- 1.6. The preferred mix of uses that will be strongly encouraged on this site is predominantly residential, with associated open and play space for the occupiers of any future development, and a new community use. Active, non-retail uses should be included at ground level, in addition to the entrances to the residences above. These may need to include social and community uses that have been displaced from elsewhere in the masterplan area, potentially in a comprehensive new community hub, or could be non-retail business uses.

#### 2. The Site and Surrounding Location, and Planning History

#### The site and surrounding location

- 2.1. The site lies within the Lisson Green Estate, developed by Westminster City Council in the early 1970's on the former Marylebone Goods Yard, which was acquired by Westminster City Council on 18 October 1965 from British Rail. The site measures approximately 1.3 hectares, and contains two buildings, Penn House and 4 Lilestone Street, and a small public open space.
- 2.2. Designed in the 1960's the Lisson Green Estate was completed in 1973 and comprised 1466 homes in 28 five, six and seven storey system-built concrete residential blocks by Taylor Woodrow-Anglian, of which Penn House is one, together with 55 terraced houses and various non-housing buildings, including 4 Lilestone Street.
- 2.3. The estate was transformed in an ambitious, ten year regeneration programme which completed in 2007, and was funded under the Governments Estate Action Programme. The regeneration included the demolition and re-modelling of a number of blocks to remove the communal walkways that linked the blocks. The walkways contributed to major issues with security and anti-social behaviour. The demolished blocks were replaced with blocks of low rise flats, including a new four-storey infill block containing 12 x 2-bed units between Penn and Fulmar Houses. Penn House itself was renovated to a high standard, including the demolition and rebuilding of new stair and lift cores, and removal of the block from the local Combined Heat and Power system, and installation of a new boiler. Residents tend to agree that the regeneration programme has greatly improved the quality of life on Lisson Green estate.
- 2.4. Penn House is a five storey yellow brick residential block, completed in the early 1970's with a concrete frame, yellow stock brick facades, and now has an attic floor within a recently added slate pitched roof. It contains 44 sheltered housing units occupying approximately 2,800m<sup>2</sup> floorspace, all of which are self contained bedsit/studio flats. A lift serves all four floors, and there is level access to the block and all flats, although none are suitable for wheelchair users.
- 2.5. The 44 units are run under the Community Supportive Housing scheme, which is as a managed block of independent flats, where elderly residents receive an element of care. A resident scheme manager is employed at Penn House. All units have 24-hour emergency alarm systems for when the scheme manager is off-duty, and door entry systems. Other facilities include a lounge/meeting room, kitchen, laundry, and a hairdressing salon on the second floor. A communal garden including several mature trees fronts Lisson Grove.
- 2.6. The building is accessed from entrances on both Lisson Grove and Mallory Street. Mallory Street runs between Penn House and the open space, giving access to the servicing point at the rear of Penn House, and three on-street resident's parking bays. It was adopted as public highway in 2010. Between Penn House and Lisson Grove is a narrow, well planted garden. Its trees give added depth to the greenery offered by the Lime trees adjacent to the site.

- 2.7. 4 Lilestone Street is a two storey yellow stock brick community building containing approximately 620m<sup>2</sup> floorspace, used as a public nursery at first floor level with associated play space to the rear, a car park with garaging to the east, and vacant community floorspace at ground floor with associated amenity space to the front. It has pedestrian access from the footway linking Lilestone and Mallory Streets, and presents a hostile frontage to Lilestone Street in the form of a c.3m blank yellow brick wall to the car park.
- 2.8. The 330 m² upper floor is currently occupied by London Early Years Foundation (LEYF), and has access to the open space to the rear which is used as a playground for the LEYF's Lisson Green Community Nursery. It has been part of the local community for 23 years, and provides 39 full and part time places to children from 6 months to 5 years old, with a chef on site and a professional sensory studio.
- 2.9. The ground floor was last used to provide adult mental health care, but has been vacant for several years, and has access to the yard to the front, currently being utilised by Let's Go Let's Grow a campaign to motivate local people to grow fruit and vegetables.
- 2.10. To the rear of both buildings is a landscaped area in the Council's Housing portfolio. Raised red and blue engineering brick beds contain mature London Plane and Acacia trees which offer significant visual amenity to this relatively hard urban area. The space is somewhat uninviting as a place of recreation, as its design prevents easy access to the grassed areas, and the tree canopy and rear wall to the adjacent nursery and Gayhurst House prevent the space being significantly overlooked.



Penn House, looking east from Lisson Grove, with Lilestone Street on the left

2.11. Opposite the site, is a Grade II listed residential terrace at 97-127 Lisson Grove. Dating from around 1820 as part of the Portman Estate development, the three storey plus basement terrace is set back behind front gardens, and built from stock brick with stucco ground floors and slate roofs. The site is outside a conservation area, although the Lisson Grove Conservation Area is just to the south of the estate.

#### **Planning History**

- 2.12. The planning files show the following relevant permissions granted:
  - 24 November 2008 planning permission granted for the installation of 60cm diameter satellite dish to rear elevation of Penn House at first floor level above glazed rear ground floor entrance canopy.
  - 14 July 2000 planning permission granted for the replacement of Penn House's single glazed windows with new double glazed units with horizontal pivot openings.
  - 29 February 1996 planning permission granted for the construction of single storey entrance enclosures to entrances at ground floor level.
  - 19 January 1995 planning permission granted for the construction of 2 garages replacing 3 existing derelict garages at 1 Lilestone Street.
  - 15 Jul 1993 planning permission granted for new ground level plant room on Penn House, with associated ductwork. This took Penn House off the somewhat unreliable district heating system.
  - 20 January 1987 planning permission granted for the erection of a pitched roof on Penn House in place of the original flat roof

#### 3. Planning Policy Framework

#### **General Principles**

- 3.1. The relevant statutory planning framework for this site is set out in:
  - National Planning Guidance as set out in Planning Policy Guidance (PPG) and Planning Policy Statements (PPS)
  - The London Plan Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London, Consolidated with Alterations since 2004 (February 2008), alongside the London Plan 2011. The latter document is a material consideration in all planning applications
  - Westminster's Local Development Framework which provides the local polices to guide development in Westminster. The recently adopted Core Strategy 2011 is the key document within the LDF, it provides the strategic policy approach to developing this site.
  - The saved policies of the City of Westminster adopted Unitary Development Plan (UDP) 2007 provide more detail for the development of the site. Supported by guidance provided in the City of Westminster Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG's) and Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD's).

#### **National Planning Guidance**

3.2. Any proposals for this site must comply with the national planning requirements as set out in Planning Policy Statements, Planning Policy Guidance, and the emerging National Planning Policy Framework.

#### The London Plan

- 3.3. The London Plan 2011 is the 'Spatial Development Strategy' an overarching strategic plan for London, setting out an integrated economic, environmental, transport and social framework for the development of London over the next 20 -25 years. It forms part of the Development Plan for Westminster and as such has informed the development of this brief, and must be used in conjunction with local policies to help determine any future application for this site.
- 3.4. The site falls within an area identified by the Mayor as an Area for Regeneration in policy 2.14. In these areas the Mayor has identified the importance of working with strategic and local partners to co-ordinate their sustained renewal by prioritising them for neighbourhood-based action and investment. Integrated spatial policies, coupled with delivery vehicles such as the Futures Plan, should assist in regenerating areas through development, and transport proposals with improvements in learning and skills, health, safety, access, employment, environment and housing.
- 3.5. Other relevant policies contained in the London Plan cover:
  - Social and community infrastructure, and the importance of requiring additional and enhanced provision to meet existing needs and the needs of a growing population;
  - Optimising housing potential on development sites;
  - Defining affordable housing and setting targets and thresholds for application;
  - Providing a range of housing;
  - Encouraging sustained regeneration by introducing opportunities for economic uses in developments;
  - Providing children and young people's play and informal recreation facilities by encouraging housing developments to provide provision for play and informal recreation;

- Creating buildings and spaces that help to reinforce or enhance the character and legibility of the neighbourhood and;
- Development proposals that should address strategic as well as local Planning Obligations.

#### Westminster's Local Policies – Westminster's Local Development Framework

- 3.7. Westminster's Core Strategy is a Development Planning Document and the principal planning document within the Local Development Framework. The Core Strategy together with the London Plan and the saved policies contained in the council's UDP (2007) currently make up the statutory development plan for the City. Together these documents guide future development in Westminster.
- 3.8. The Core Strategy was adopted on the 26 January 2011, and as the most recent local policy document it provides the key policies for the development of this site. The Core Strategy replaces all of the part one strategic policies of the UDP and sets out the vision for the development of Westminster as a whole. A complete list of current local policies is available on our website.
- 3.9. The council is developing the second policy document in the Local Development Framework, the City Management Plan (CMP). This Development Planning Document will contain more detailed criteria based development management policies against which planning applications will be considered. This emerging DPD and the policies it will include will in time be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications. The weight attached to the policies depends on the stage the policy has reached in the policy development process. At present the CMP is at draft stage but over time the CMP will gain more weight and will be a consideration during the production of this brief and for developers developing proposals for this site.
- 3.10. The process for developing this document is as follows: an initial CMP 'policy options' document was published for consultation in January 2011, and an informal policy draft was published for a further period of public consultation in October 2011. Formal Publication and Submission Draft versions will follow. The document is due to be adopted in early 2013. After adoption the Core Strategy and the City Management Plan together, will replace the UDP in its entirety.
- 3.11. The key land use policies to guide the development of this site are Core Strategy Policy CS15 which protects specialist housing floorspace, and CS33 which protects social and community uses. The sheltered housing floorspace, around 2,800 m², and the community floorspace, around 620m² should therefore be reprovided, either on site, or in the locality, unless the accommodation is needed to meet different residential needs as part of a published strategy by the service provider.
- 3.12. Core Strategy Policy CS12 designates the North Westminster Economic Development Area (NWEDA). This site falls within NWEDA and so development of it should contribute to increasing economic activity, or provide local services, or improve the quality and tenure mix of housing. To support development in the area the policy identifies a range of priorities that should also be considered when developing proposal for this site, including:

- Improving physical connections within the area, including the nearby Paddington
  Opportunity Area and it's shopping areas, and to the Central Activities Zone and
  to other surrounding areas;
- Public realm and local environmental improvements particularly within the Shopping Centre's and housing estates;
- Redevelopment of, and infill developments in, some existing housing estates, including provision of a range of housing tenures (including intermediate and market housing), wherever possible, consistent with the protection of open space;
- Delivering improved and appropriate local services and supporting opportunities to provide facilities for local community groups and faith groups;
- Providing training, skills and employment opportunities for local people;
- A Combined Heat and Power facility with sufficient capacity to serve other sites and establish a wider heat and power network.
- 3.13. During the development of this brief detailed policies will be drafted for the NWEDA area in the CMP. Given that development on this site is likely to commence after 2012 by then the CMP policies will be developed and will inform proposals for this site and the consideration of any planning application. An additional Appendix of relevant draft CMP policies will therefore be added in to the next version of this brief which will be produced later this year when the draft CMP is published.
- 3.14. Other key relevant Core Strategy policies which apply to this site are:
  - Policy CS14 16 Housing (including the <u>interim note</u> regarding the implementation of affordable housing prior to the adoption of the City Management Plan)
  - Policies CS27 CS31 includes a range of policies which contribute towards creating attractive places. These include policies on Design, Residential Amenity, Air Quality, Noise, Planning Obligations and Delivering Infrastructure, Social and Community Facilities, Open Space, Renewable Energy, Pedestrian Movement and Sustainable Transport.

#### City of Westminster's Unitary Development Plan (2007)

3.15. The development of this site will need to comply with 'saved policies' contained in the Unitary Development Plan (UDP). The UDP was adopted in 2007, in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, its policies were saved for three years. Prior to the expiry of these policies the council carried out a policy assessment to establish those no longer required. These were submitted to the Secretary of State for agreement. This resulted in most of the policies being saved. Many of these policies still apply to the development of this site and have been applied within this brief. In the interim period until the adoption of the CMP the 2007 UDP policies will be used alongside the recently adopted Core Strategy Policies.

# Westminster's Supplementary Planning Guidance and Supplementary Planning Documents.

3.16. In developing proposals for this site developers should review Westminster's existing supplementary planning guidance and <u>supplementary planning documents</u>. These provide more detailed advice on specific issues such as open space, trees, the public realm and Section 106 Planning Obligations.

#### 4. Land Use

4.1. The existing use of the land is explained in Section 2 above. This section considers the possible range of land uses which may be acceptable in any proposed redevelopment of this site.

#### **General Principles**

- 4.2. The land uses suggested below identify preferred development opportunities, but are not an exhaustive list of options for redevelopment. Any future proposals for the site would also need to consider the impact on the townscape, and residential amenity of the surrounding area.
- 4.3. The sheltered housing floorspace is protected under policy CS15, and the nursery and vacant ground floor social and community uses under CS33. Therefore if the site is to be redeveloped it must either reprovide an equivalent amount of floorspace either on-site or in the vicinity to ensure continued provision of both services. Should the protected uses be reprovided, then the priority for the site will be mixed tenure housing, with a publicly accessible, non-retail use at ground floor level, preferably used as a community hub.
- 4.4. Some of the policy designations relating to this site are illustrated on Map 11. All development should accord with the relevant policy criteria as set out in the Core Strategy and the saved policies of the UDP. As this brief moves towards adoption, the evolving policies in the City Management Plan (CMP) will also need to be considered in more detail, particularly in terms of housing mix and tenure.

#### Residential

- 4.5. It will be necessary to ensure the sheltered housing services currently provided from the site are improved, either on this site or another in the neighbourhood.
- 4.6. Notwithstanding the fact that the site lies within the North Westminster Economic Development Area, in accordance with policies H3 and CS14, should the sheltered housing and community uses be relocated, mixed tenure residential use will be the priority for this site.
- 4.7. Core Strategy Policy CS16 Affordable Housing sets out the requirements for affordable housing provision in schemes proposing 10 or more additional units or 1,000 sqm additional residential floorspace, whichever is lower, as it is accepted that schemes proposing over 1,000 sq m could reasonably accommodate 10 units. The threshold policy approach also reflects the London Plan Policy 3A.11 and London Plan Policy 3.14 which require affordable housing on site with 10 or more units.
- 4.8. UDP Policy H4 identifies the specific proportion of affordable housing on-site, while Westminster's <a href="Interim Affordable Housing Note">Interim Affordable Housing Note</a> sets out the application of Policy CS16 and UDP Policy H4. There will be a requirement for a minimum of 35% affordable housing floorspace on site.
- 4.9. This Policy H4 (D) sets out to encourage the provision of affordable homes for employees in essential services this supports the overall development objective of this site. In accordance with the policy the key worker or intermediate housing built

should be maintained as affordable for successive occupants in perpetuity. This would need to be secured in any legal agreement relating to the development.

#### Affordable Housing Mix

The provision of affordable housing on this site should reflect the profile of those in housing need as advised by the council's Housing Unit. In determining the appropriate mix of affordable housing property sizes, consideration will need to be given to the re-housing needs of those local households who may be displaced as a result of housing renewal proposals set out in the futures plan.

Beyond addressing the re-housing needs of local residents affected by housing renewal proposals, the mix of affordable housing sizes to be provided should where possible reflect the wider profile of those households accepted by the council as in housing need.

The Housing Supply Manager's primary requirement in addressing future social housing need is for two bedroom (40%) and three bedroom (40%) sized accommodation, with a lesser requirement for four bedroom or larger homes (15%) . Homes with one bedroom may be appropriate in addressing the housing needs of households displaced by redevelopment. Studio and one beds represent 50% of existing Council and registered provider housing stock in the City, therefore in general studio and one bed housing need will be addressed from void turnover in existing stock.

The provision of intermediate housing sizes (that includes shared ownership, shared equity or sub market rental housing) should in general reflect the profile of demand for intermediate housing as evidenced from the council's intermediate housing waiting list. However, in the context of the sites location within a Housing Renewal Area, the mix of intermediate housing sizes provided will also be required to reflect local housing need, particularly those households displaced by regeneration in the area and whose re-housing needs are addressed by intermediate housing solutions. The affordability of intermediate housing should reflect a broad spectrum of household incomes of residents in the City, including those households with lower quartile to median incomes levels.

- 4.10. The London Plan states there should be a 60:40 social rented:intermediate housing split. Intermediate housing should reflect the types of housing outlined in the London Plan and will need to be agreed with the council's Director of Housing.
- 4.11. The new 'Affordable Rent' tenure came into effect from 1 April 2011. Any Affordable Rent homes will need to meet a range of household incomes reflecting identified local needs. The Council's Housing Development Manager will provide affordability guidelines at the pre-planning stage. It should be noted that grant funding for affordable housing will, if available at all, mainly be aimed at 'Affordable Rent' properties, therefore nil grant should be presumed.

#### Government Changes to Affordable Housing

4.12. The introduction of Affordable Rent as a form of affordable housing tenure was announced in the October 2010 Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR), along with a reduction in the affordable housing budget from £8.5bn in 2008-2011 to £4.4bn over the next four years. The aim of Affordable Rent is to assist in the delivery of new

- social housing and provide an offer 'which is more diverse for the range of people accessing social housing, providing alternatives to traditional social rent'.
- 4.13. From April 2011-2012 Registered Providers (RP) will be able to charge rents on new tenancies at levels of up to 80% of gross local market rent (inclusive of service charges) for an equivalent property for that size and location.
- 4.14. Westminster recognises that owing to the high market rent levels that apply across the City, including those areas of the City where lower quartile rents are evident, rents charged at 80% of market rent are unlikely to be affordable to most working households in the City and those households who are reliant upon benefits and who may be affected by the proposed welfare reforms from 2013. The council has published an Affordable Housing Rent Statement setting out guidelines for the application of Affordable Rent in the City, including gross rental (rent plus service charges) ranges by size of property appropriate to Westminster. Affordable Rent for replacement new supply is not supported on regeneration schemes where commitments have been made to residents that they will be offered similar tenancy conditions. The council's Affordable Rent statement is set out in appendix 5.
- 4.15. Consequently, a development partner anticipating providing affordable housing on this site will need to agree suitable rent levels to be charged on affordable homes with Housing Officers at Westminster that are affordable to lower income households.
- 4.16. The reduction in the Homes and Communities Agency's (HCA) housing capital funding budget (grant), combined with the ability of RPs to charge rents at up to 80% of market, marks a shift in the funding for future affordable housing from a capital grant based system to a revenue based system facilitated through charging higher rents on new homes and a proportion of existing RP voids, combined with other forms of cross subsidy provided through disposals or market housing.
- 4.17. The HCA have confirmed that in most cases, grant will only be made available towards the delivery of new affordable housing where the tenure is Affordable Rent and that other forms of affordable housing such as intermediate rent will no longer be eligible for grant. Grant may be available for shared ownership homes, but only where it is shown that grant is required and shared ownership is provided in combination with new Affordable Rent homes.
- 4.18. Grant funding for new social rent will only be considered in certain circumstances including those relating to regeneration of existing housing schemes were replacement social rented accommodation is part of the regeneration offer to local residents. Indications from the HCA are that the levels of grant funding if available are likely to be significantly reduced.

#### **Optimising Housing**

- 4.19. In accordance with Policy CS14 the developer should look to optimise the number of all types of residential tenure unit on the site, ensuring a well designed scheme is proposed in accordance with townscape requirements.
- 4.20. Higher proportions of a particular tenure may be acceptable on this site, as part of a strategy that includes nearby sites, to for example enable better management of

affordable housing stock. It will be necessary to understand the reasoning, and ensure that percentages required by policy are met and delivered across the area, before any such concessions to policy on an individual site could be agreed.

#### **Housing Unit Size**

- 4.21. An appropriate mix of housing should be provided on site to meet housing needs locally. UDP Policy H5 (B) requires a range of housing sizes. The council normally requires 33% of housing units to be family sized (i.e. 4+ habitable rooms, providing 3+ bedrooms) and will require 5% of this family housing to have 5 or more habitable rooms.
- 4.22. In preparation of the City Management Plan the council has been reviewing the housing mix requirements across the city. This review is being developed with the council's Housing Unit to better meet the latest assessment of need for social rented housing in the city. It is strongly recommended that developers consider this mix in discussions with the council's Housing Unit to ensure that any development reflects actual need.
- 4.23. The Mayor's London Housing Design Guide 2010 (Interim Edition) and the guidance it contains on room sizes should be adhered to. The guide clarifies, consolidates and sets new minimum space standards. It promotes better neighbourhoods, high environmental standards, better accessibility and better design and includes new minimum standards for the amount of floor space and private outdoor space, as well as guidance on natural light and ceiling heights. The London Housing Strategy requires all homes developed with public funding to deliver high quality housing in line with the guide, not just an acceptable standard, from 2011.

#### Lifetime Homes and Wheelchair Accessible Housing

4.24. UDP Policy H8 requires that all housing units should meet the lifetime homes standard. In schemes which result in 25 or more housing units, 10% of all units should be designed be wheelchair accessible or easily adaptable for residents who are wheelchair users. This applies to all types of housing. Adequate, well located car parking will be a consideration for those properties that provide housing to lifetime homes standards.

#### **Housing Density**

- 4.25. The site lies within a zoned residential density range of 250 500 habitable rooms per hectare (Policy H 11(A)). It also has a PTAL score of 6a (where 1 is the lowest, and 6b the highest). The site is not within 800m walk of a major town centre, and therefore in this "Urban location", up to 260 dwellings per hectare are appropriate, according to the London Plan.
- 4.26. Density, however, will be of secondary importance after taking account of the individual requirements of the site and the merits of the scheme. The Council is generally more concerned that the optimum residential density is defined by the acceptability of the height, bulk and mass of a proposal in townscape, design daylight and sunlight and overlooking terms.

#### **Social and Community Uses**

- 4.29. The provision of social and community uses (Use Class D1 are encouraged by the Core Strategy. The existing social and community use of 4 Lilestone Street is protected, unless it is reprovided locally, allowing improved services to continue. Replacement social and community floorspace, as a hub or other use, would be welcome, but even if the site can change use to predominantly housing, a D1 use will be required on site.
- 4.30. Public and private social and community facilities are encouraged in the Core Strategy (see definition on page 183) and the UDP. In line with Policies CS33 and SOC 1, and given the position of the site, adjacent to (but outside) the Church Street District Shopping Centre in the heart of the community, it would be desirable for a community hub to be located on at least the ground floor of any redevelopment. This would also support Policy CS12 which within NWEDA seeks to secure services which meet the specific needs of local communities and address gaps in provision.
- 4.31. Furthermore, UDP Policy H10(B) stipulates that on sites where the amount of housing is likely to be 50 or more additional units the Council will require the provision of community facilities in order to mitigate the additional demands placed on local services. Paragraph 6.2 of the UDP sets out the type of uses that are considered to be social and community uses and subject to other policies in the plan most of these would be considered to be acceptable land uses on the site.

#### Playspace, Private Amenity Space and Open Space

- 4.32. The site's visual amenity value is derived from the small open space to the rear of the buildings, and the mature trees both within it and within the narrow garden between Penn House and Lisson Grove. Lisson Grove's street trees are also of townscape value and offer the site itself amenity, and should be retained.
- 4.33. The landscaped space to the rear of both buildings is approximately 800m<sup>2</sup> of publicly accessible housing land. Whilst its green openness provides relief from the hard townscape, the design of the surface prevents the space being able to be used for recreation or children's play. The surrounding buildings and the dense tree canopy prevent the space receiving much sunlight, but do ensure the space is cool.
- 4.34. The landscaped space forms part of the city's green infrastructure, and as such has value in terms of amenity, biodiversity, cooling, and mitigating pollution and run-off, but as a landscaped area in a housing estate it is not defined as "open space" by the Council's Open Space Strategy 2007, or therefore shown on its appended plans. It does however form part of the council's "open space network", as defined in the Glossary of the Core Strategy and is therefore protected by policy CS34.



Landscaped space, looking north towards the rear of 4 Lilestone Street

- 4.35. The site lies within an Area Deficient in publicly accessible Open Space >0.4ha suitable for informal play, as identified in the Open Space Strategy. UDP paragraph 9.237 recognises that it is important to ensure that every opportunity to provide additional open space is realised. Policy CS28 states that development should aim to improve the residential environment. To achieve this any proposals for this site should provide amenity space suitable for the proposed use(s), and preferably publicly accessible open space (see definition at 1.12 of the Open Space Strategy), that is at least available communally to the any new occupiers of the site, in accordance with ENV4, ENV16, CS34 and CS37. That space should be approximately equivalent in area to the footprint of the existing landscaped area, and seek to be inviting and useable based upon a thorough understanding of local climatic conditions, whilst protecting the amenity of surrounding residents.
- 4.36. Open space provided on site should have and be designed to perform a clear function for users, which might be informal play for particular ages, biodiversity, or tranquility for example. It should be considered from early concept designs in order to ensure factors such as sunlight can be maximised, and so the management system can support its function. The council will expect thorough ground preparatory works and after care of all landscaping.
- 4.37. UDP Policy SOC6(A) requires children's play space and facilities to be provided as part of new developments which include 25 or more family housing units. This is being revised in the CMP, and is likely to lower the threshold. There are a number of local playspaces available, and Regent's Park is only approximately a 700m walk away, however, should family housing be proposed on this site, it would be desirable if the proposals incorporated useable play space for the under-5's, as that age group are unable to access the neighbourhood facilities themselves.
- 4.38. Policy H10(A) requires the provision of amenity space in the form of gardens as part of residential proposals. The communal open space sought above may be sufficient to discharge this requirement for the dwellings that benefit from direct access to it. Other residential units should be provided with private or communal open space as

balconies or at roof level. The ability of all roofs to provide accessible space, and/or contribute towards the needs of biodiversity and rainwater attenuation, should be considered.

#### **Business Uses**

- 4.39. The London Plan recognises that there is an important role for the planning system in ensuring that an adequate mix of businesses and employment opportunities are provided close to those communities who would particularly benefit from local jobs. In order to improve the local economy, and the skills and prospects of residents, one of the key priorities of Policy CS12 is to maximise opportunities that promote sustainable economic growth and create jobs in NWEDA. Benefits to the economy will therefore be a further consideration when development proposals for this site are determined.
- 4.40. Subject to the principal land use considerations outlined above, should the site be developed for a mix of residential and social and community facilities, in accordance with Policy CS12 facilities for training skills and employment opportunities would also be supported by the council. Policy CS12 encourages B1 business uses, including smaller business uses like studios and workshops throughout the whole of the Economic Development Area as it recognises that these uses bring economic growth. Proposals which contribute towards providing training skills and employment opportunities for local people are therefore encouraged on this site.
- 4.41. In accordance with Policy CS12 NWEDA, facilities for training skills and employment opportunities would be supported by the council as these would support economic growth in the area. Policy CS12 encourages B1 business uses, including smaller business uses like studios and workshops throughout the whole of the Economic Development Area as it recognises that these uses bring economic growth. Subject to the satisfactory relocation of community uses from the site, proposals which contribute towards providing training skills and employment opportunities for local people are encouraged in the proposal, particularly at ground and basement levels, fronting Lisson Grove and Lilestone Street.
- 4.42. Proposals for new commercial floorspace must conform with all other planning policies and considerations set out in the Core Strategy and UDP. For example, new retail should be directed to the Local and District Shopping Centres and not on this site, in accordance with Policy CS20.
- 4.43. Further detailed policies on the requirements for commercial floorspace within NWEDA are being developed as part of the CMP. Any requirements affecting this site will be taken in to consideration as the planning brief is developed and taken forward for adoption as an SPD.

#### **Unacceptable Uses**

- Large retail uses (Class A) as the site is outside the District and Local shopping centre these could cause demonstrable harm to the vitality or viability of the nearby shopping Centres and Frontages. A small retail use, complimentary to the residential nature of the area, could be acceptable.
- Certain entertainment uses (Class D2) such as night clubs and dance venues are not acceptable due to the impact on the amenity and environmental quality, character and function of the residential area.

- General Industrial (Class B2)
- Storage/Distribution (Class B8)

#### 5. Conservation, Townscape and Sustainable Design

#### **Local Context and Conservation**

- 5.1. The site does not contain any listed buildings, and is not in a conservation area. The main visual amenity offered by the site derives from the mature London Plane and Acacia trees within the landscaped space to the rear, and the variety of species between Penn House and Lisson Grove. The listed buildings and street trees, and the generous width of Lisson Grove give the area a domestic scale, despite the overbearing scale of the surrounding blocks.
- 5.2. Opposite the site, is a listed residential terrace at 97-127 Lisson Grove. Dating from around 1820 as part of the Portman Estate development, the three storey plus lower ground terrace is set back behind front gardens, and built from stock brick with stucco ground floors and slate roofs. A list description is attached at Appendix 4.



Looking south with the site on the left, and the listed terrace at 97-127 Lisson Grove on the right

- 5.3. Fulmer House overlooks the rear of the site, to the south side of Mallory Street. It is a six storey block containing 54 flats, faced in prefabricated panels. A four storey yellow stock brick infil block lies between Fulmer House and Lisson Grove, containing four flats. Gayhurst House is also a six storey block of similar appearance flanking the eastern frontage of the site. It has a later, adjoining four storey yellow stock brick block containing six flats fronting Lilestone Street.
- 5.4. A ground floor retail and six upper storey 1970's residential block is located opposite the community building on Lilestone Street.
- 5.5. The close proximity of Lisson Grove creates a range of environmental issues including air and noise pollution. In accordance with Polices CS30 Air Quality, and Policy CS31 Noise. Any redevelopment should defend inhabitants from, ensure it doesn't add to, and actively seek to reduce the noise pollution and air pollution, by incorporating a range of design measures into any scheme.
- 5.6. The running tunnels for the Jubilee line are beneath the eastern end of the site, and so foundations will need to be carefully designed, and applicants will need to contact London Underground.

#### **Design Principles**

- 5.7. Given the relatively unconstrained townscape setting, the site may be considered suitable for an innovative contemporary design, whilst respecting the residential character and amenity of the area.
- 5.8. The design of any proposal must ensure it fully respects its predominantly residential location, and does not have a negative impact on neighbouring residents in Gayhurst and Fulmer Houses, and their associated infill blocks particularly, in terms of daylight, sunlight, sense of enclosure and privacy. Any new residential units should comply with the Mayor's housing design guide, and care needs to be taken to ensure that they comply with the BRE guidelines in terms of daylight and sunlight, and have adequate ventilation. The design should emphasise the relationship to Lisson Grove and the overall townscape of the area.
- 5.9. Any future development will be need to be of the highest standards of sustainable and inclusive design and architectural quality, to meet the design principles outlined in Westminster's Core Strategy and Unitary Development Plan and to enhance the local environment. Policy CS27 Design and UDP Policy DES 1 Principles of Urban Design and Conservation are particularly relevant.
- 5.10. The council expects that the design of the public realm, including surface and boundary treatments, materials, planting, street furniture and play/games facilities to be of the highest quality, prioritising the needs of pedestrians. In developing these proposals consideration should be given to the Westminster Way Supplementary Planning Document which provides a list of design principles and practice.

#### Scale, Mass and Form of Development

- 5.11. The function of any replacement buildings are yet to be determined, and will dictate the precise form of the proposed development.
- 5.12. The generous width of Lisson Grove, and the footprint of the existing adjacent residential blocks would allow building of a scale of 5 storeys to the Lisson Grove frontage.
- 5.13. The sites existing street frontages offer little other than greening to the street scene. The trees on the Lisson Grove frontage of the site (ie within the front gardens) cause the existing building to be set back from the street frontage. Whilst this greenery provides added visual amenity to the existing street trees, it also erodes the streets building line and would make the delivery of a successful active ground floor use more difficult. Consideration should be given to moving the building line to Lisson Grove forward while not endangering the long term health and stability of the existing mature street trees. The space to the rear could potentially be made publicly accessible via a reinstated break in that frontage, as Grove Street broke the terraced frontage that preceded the railway yard.
- 5.14. The design and layout should be developed to create and enhance coherent relationships to the urban form beyond the site boundaries. In addition to architectural/townscape considerations, the height and massing of any development also needs to be informed by UDP Policy ENV13. Proposals must demonstrate that residential amenity is not adversely affected in terms of a material loss of daylight,

- sunlight, by complying with BRE guidelines, and in terms of increased sense of enclosure in habitable rooms of adjacent residential buildings or loss of privacy.
- 5.15. An active frontage would be welcome on this prominent corner. Active, non retail uses such as nursery, adult education facilities, a housing office, doctors surgery or other health facilities, should be incorporated into the Lisson Grove and Lilestone Street frontages, and multiple residential entrances to the floors above, in order to add interest, and encourage ownership and natural surveillance of the streets.
- 5.16. The buildings should make use of balconies, winter gardens, terraces and roof gardens to provide added visual interest to the façades and add richness to the development. They should provide genuine usable space as part of the amenity space provided within scheme. These should be designed as valuable amenity spaces, improving the residential environment meeting the requirements of CS28, UDP Policy ENV13 and the requirements of the Mayor's housing standards. Whilst every roofspace should be given consideration as to its suitability for private or communal access, these spaces must be designed so they do not create unacceptable degrees of overlooking of existing or new residents. Appropriate management of these spaces will be required to prevent them being used as external storage areas.
- 5.17. Buildings should be designed to overlook public routes and open space to provide passive surveillance and aid security within these spaces. The design of the ground floor of buildings, particularly where it may interface with public routes will require particular care. The requirement to ensure routes are overlooked will need to be balanced by the possible desire for residential privacy and amenity.
- 5.18. The detailed design of buildings should reflect the uses within them. Residential buildings should have a warm palette of sustainable and durable materials. Affordable housing should be integrated into the overall layout and should be indistinguishable from market housing. Residential buildings should also be equipped with a central location for communal satellite dishes. Any plant or machinery should be incorporated into the fabric of the building design, alongside provision for cleaning and maintenance.
- 5.19. Developing the site in this way will ensure the scheme addresses the character, urban grain and scale of the existing buildings and the spaces between them, meeting the criteria set out in UDP Policy DES 1.

#### Sustainable Design

- 5.21. The principles of sustainable design will be applied alongside consideration of a wide range of environmental factors, to create the highest quality sustainable development. Core Strategy policy CS27 requires development to incorporate exemplary standards of sustainable and inclusive urban design and architecture. PPS5 requires consideration to be given to the embodied energy in a building when considering its demolition.
- 5.22. The development of this site needs to contribute to delivering objective five in the London Plan, to make London an exemplary world city, mitigating and adapting to climate change and a more attractive, well designed and green city. This approach seeks to achieve a reduction in consumption and better use of natural resources,

- addressing issues like noise pollution, treatment of waste, improvement in air quality and the promotion of biodiversity.
- 5.23. In Westminster the adopted Core Strategy takes this approach a step further and provides an extensive range of policies which require and encourage sustainable development, to create better places and improve the quality of the local environment.
- 5.24. To meet these policies buildings must incorporate exemplary standards of sustainable and inclusive design and architecture, as set out in the Policy CS27 Design. This development should be of the highest design standard and minimise energy use and emissions that contribute to climate change during the life cycle of the development. It should also ensure the reduction, reuse or recycling of resources and materials, including water, waste and aggregates, is designed into the scheme to allow for adaptation with materials that are durable.
- 5.25. The sites in the Church Street neighbourhood also provide an opportunity to develop best practice in the design and construction of new buildings. The Mayor of London, London Housing Design Guide (Interim Edition) August 2010, particularly Chapter 6 provides an overview of the sustainable design requirements in the London Plan. While at the local level the council's Supplementary Planning Guidance on Sustainable Buildings (2003) provides guidance on all the relevant aspects which need consideration at both the design and construction stage. This is expected to be replaced with a SPD on Sustainable Design in the Autumn 2011. Both should be referenced and implemented in developing a scheme for this site.
- 5.26. The Church Street regeneration potentially offers a range of refurbishment and new build developments. They include sites where new developments are located closely to existing housing stock. Opportunities for environmental improvements should not just focus on new buildings but also opportunities for improvement in the existing neighbouring built environment that benefit the wider existing community, removing issues such as fuel poverty<sup>1</sup> and opening up community space.

#### **Sustainability Assessment and Code for Sustainable Homes**

- 5.27. The London Plan states, in paragraph 5.26 that the government has implemented the Code for Sustainable Homes (CSH) as a national standard for the sustainable design and construction of new homes. The Mayor's approach is compatible with this, and it is expected that new development in London will seek to achieve the highest Code levels possible. Paragraph 5.19 goes on to state that the London Plan's minimum targets for energy are equivalent to Code Level 4.
- 5.28. Development is encouraged to undertake a community environment assessment such as the Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) Communities assessment. This will ensure that major aspects of sustainability are integrated and considered within Phase 1 of the Futures Plan implementation. It will also aid the delivery of BREEAM or Code for Sustainable Homes assessments on individual sites, which will determine the developments acceptability against policy CS27.

http://www.decc.gov.uk/en/content/cms/statistics/fuelpov\_stats/fuelpov\_stats.aspx

5.29. Any development of this site will be required to achieve the highest standard through using the Code for Sustainable Homes (CSH) and BREEAM, as these assessments measures the overall sustainability of a new home against categories of sustainable design, rating the 'whole home' as a complete package. All housing is expected to be built to Code 4 as a minimum, and from 2016 all housing will need to meet Code 5. The City Council will be seeking through forthcoming CMP policies, higher CSH and BREEAM standards in line with Core Strategy policy CS27, and above the Interim London Housing Design Guide, which sets a target of Code Level 4.

#### **Energy Use and Renewable Energies**

- 5.30. London Plan policy 5.2 states that development proposals should make the fullest contribution to minimising carbon dioxide emissions in accordance with the following energy hierarchy (in order of preference):
  - using less energy (Be lean);
  - supplying energy efficiently (Be clean), and
  - using renewable energy (Be green).
- 5.31. At the local level Westminster's Core Strategy Policies CS27, CS38, and CS39 supports this approach of energy efficiency through design, the delivery of community heating and cooling into new and existing sites, and the installation of renewable technologies that should maximise on-site renewable energy generation working towards a zero carbon scheme. Any visible renewable technologies that are employed to deliver CS39, should be integrated into the design of any proposed scheme, to generate the highest carbon reduction while being sympathetic to the townscape.

Due to the balance of energy loads within the neighbourhood, and mixture of new and old buildings a net zero increase in carbon could be delivered in this scheme, where new building offset their local emissions by retrofitting carbon reduction technologies into existing housing or commercial blocks.

#### Waste

5.32. In accordance with Policy CS43 this site is required to provide for recycling and composting waste management facilities within the development. UDP Policy ENV 12 provides further detail on this. To assist developers in providing facilities the Council has produced a guide called 'Waste and Recycling Storage Requirements' (March 2009) this provides further information to be considered at the design stage. The incorporation of the public micro-recycling station on Lisson Grove (for paper, glass, plastic bottles, cans, plastic bags, and textiles) within the new development would be welcome.

Particularly given the extensive redevelopment of the neighbourhood, it would be appropriate to consider innovative comprehensive solutions to maximise the efficient treatment of waste and minimise vehicle movements, such as underground waste storage, transportation and collection.

#### **Noise**

5.33. Across Westminster the Council is seeking to reduce noise levels. To address this the Core Strategy Policy CS31 requires development that designs in measures preventing noise and vibration, and that they are integrated into the scheme at the design stage to ensure the development minimises noise pollution and creates quiet

indoor environments. A scheme which provides a mix of uses will need to consider the impact the surrounding uses will have on the sites residential development. More details on the types of design measures required are set out in UDP Policy ENV 6, UDP Policy ENV 7 and Westminster's Noise Strategy 2010- 2015.

#### **Air Quality**

- 5.34. In 1999 Westminster was designated an Air Quality Management Area as it has some of the poorest air quality in the country. This designation brings the requirement to reduce air pollution. To achieve this the Council monitors the levels of air pollution, and has developed an Air Quality Strategy and Action Plan 2001. The Action Plan suggests a range of measures to help reduce air pollution including improvements in road transport, lowering emissions from plant and machinery and other emissions from buildings. The City Council has recently published a <a href="mailto:draft">draft</a> replacement Air Quality Strategy.
- 5.35. The redevelopment and construction stage will generate temporary air pollution however measures required under the Code of Construction Practice during demolition and construction will reduce these. Sustainable design measures which use appropriate technology, alongside carefully designed site layout and landscaped areas with trees and vegetation, including roofs and terraces, will assist in the overall reduction of air pollution during the lifetime of the development. UDP Policy ENV 5 provides more detailed advice on ways to manage air pollution.

#### **Biodiversity and Green roofs**

- 5.36. Regional and local level planning policies encourage and recognise the opportunities developments provide to enhance nature conservation and biodiversity. The Mayor's Biodiversity Study, London Biodiversity Action Plan together with Westminster's Core Strategy Policies CS 37 and Biodiversity Action Plan encourage the development of habitats and species in Westminster.
- 5.37. In preparing development options for the site it is recommended that the following documents are consulted:
  - <u>Green Roofs: their Existing Status and Potential for conserving Biodiversity in Urban areas</u>
  - Design for Biodiversity
  - Biodiversity by design
  - Improving Londoner's Access to Nature
  - Right Trees for a Changing Climate
  - Adapting to Climate Change; a Checklist for Development
  - Green and Brown Roofs
  - 5.38. Green roofs, living roofs and living walls aid cooling, insulate buildings from extreme temperatures and can mitigate against the need for air conditioning. They also have wider environmental benefits providing opportunities for increasing biodiversity and vegetation, attenuating storm water surface run-off. Living roofs and walls in private spaces, balconies, and roof terraces contribute to improving biodiversity across Westminster developing opportunities for habitats for wildlife. Living roofs are also compatible with the installation of solar photovoltaic panels (PV) on A-frames on roofs, with co-benefits in terms of shading on parts of the living roof which has a beneficial impact encouraging wider biodiversity and the PV installation benefitting from the cooling effect, preventing overheating of the PV

system. PV and/or green/brown roofs should be considered for every suitable, inaccessible roofspace.

#### **Climate Change Adaptation**

- 5.39. The risk of the likelihood of "extreme heat" temperatures occurring is increasing, as the UK Climate Impacts Programme (UKCIP09) states that there will be an increase in temperatures within the urban environment due to a changing climate. New communities within Westminster should be designed to not only address current changes but also address the future challenges that a changing climate will bring, tackling issues such as overheating, water usage, extreme weather events, and safe use of outdoor spaces.
- 5.40. Overheating should be addressed by using the London Cooling Hierarchy and the installation of energy intensive cooling mechanisms should only be used as a last resort, with passive cooling preferred and expected. If necessary, chilled beams are one way of cooling a building using the CCHP system, rather than traditional air conditioning.
- 5.41. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) either capture rainwater run-off from buildings or ensures this water soaks away effectively supporting landscaping on site. Rain water harvesting by SUDS can be recycled and low flush or dual flush technology can used service toilets throughout the development.

#### **Public Realm**

- 5.42. The council expects that the design of the public realm, including surface and boundary treatments, materials, planting, street furniture and play/games facilities to be of the highest quality. In developing this site consideration should be given to the Westminster Way Supplementary Planning Document which provides a list of design principles and practice.
- 5.43. Trees provide a range of tangible benefits which have considerable beneficial impacts on the lives of those who live and work in cities but do not have daily access to other more traditional types of open space. There are also a number of less obvious benefits that can sometimes be difficult to quantify, including social and economic benefits.
- 5.44. The use of land as open, play and private amenity space has been mentioned above, but it is worth emphasising the importance of green space and trees from a visual amenity perspective. One important function of space between buildings is its appearance, given the likely numbers of building occupants overlooking it. However, trees cannot be treated in isolation and should be carefully considered in their context to ensure the right tree in the right place. It will be necessary therefore to consult the council's Tree Strategy and arboriculturalists at an early stage when considering removing existing or planting new trees.
  - 5.45. The five mature Lime trees on the Lisson Grove footway form part of an avenue of Limes that run the length of Lisson Grove. It will be necessary to retain these street trees. Between the footway and Penn House there are a further nine mixed broadleaf species, which add to the functioning barrier between the residents and Lisson Grove, and afford amenity to the wider area. Whilst it would be desirable to retain these trees, their retention needs to be balanced against the desire to

- reinstate a frontage on Lisson Grove. If they are removed, they should be replaced in any open space on the site, to ensure no net loss in amenity.
- 5.46. In accordance with UDP Policy DES7 the provision of public artwork, including sculpture, statuary and mural decoration, will be encouraged where permission is sought for suitable schemes of development. Proposed artwork should be of a high standard of design and execution, created from high quality materials and spatially related to the development scheme and where fixed to a building, be integral to the design of that building.

#### **Flood Risk**

- 5.47. The Environment Agency has identified this part of Westminster as Flood Zone 1, with a low risk less than 0.1% chance of flooding. The council carried out a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) in 2007 to identify areas which were most a risk of flooding, although at low risk from fluvial flooding, there have been a higher than average sewer and surface water flooding in the vicinity.
- 5.48. In light of the findings of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and Core Strategy Policy CS 29 it is important that various design measures are built into the new development to reduce the risk of flooding. Some of these design measures which will help to prevent flooding include, green roofs, open spaces (which store water allowing it to evaporate naturally) permeable paving, and filter drains (these allow water to drain away and provide opportunities for rainwater harvesting and recycling of water within the scheme). A Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUDS) is essential as it provides a means of controlling water at source to avoid surface water run–off, contributing to the existing problems in the area.

#### Contamination

5.49. Particularly given the previous use of the land for railway yard purposes, in accordance with Planning Policy Statement 23; Planning and Pollution Control (PPS 23) and Model Procedures for Management of Contaminated Land CR11, a detailed site investigation may be required to establish if the land or buildings on the site are contaminated. These documents both provide a risk management framework when dealing with land affected by contamination and set out a framework for removal of hazardous material.

#### **Environmental Impact Assessment**

- 5.50. As the area of this site is more than 0.5 hectares, and is located in the centre of a wider area of residential development and community uses the possible environmental effects of the proposal will need to be assessed. We therefore request a screening opinion be sought to determine if an EIA will be required, and if so then a scoping opinion before a planning application is submitted in order to identify the information to be provided in an Environmental Statement under the <a href="Town and Country Planning">Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment)</a> Regulations 2011, in respect of the proposed development.
- 5.51. The council will then use it policies to assess the Environmental Statement against, and identify the impacts the development will have on air and water quality, contaminated land, noise, waste, recycling management, nature conservation, landscape and archaeology.

#### 6. Transport, Highways and Parking

#### **General Principles**

- 6.1. Any proposal to develop this site will be assessed to establish both the individual and cumulative impact any proposal will have in contributing to traffic generation, congestion, parking, safety, public transport, cyclists and pedestrians in line with UDP policy TRANS14 (A).
- 6.2. A requirement of any proposal will be to demonstrate how the scheme will offset its traffic and congestion impacts. Proposals will need to demonstrate that they support the use of sustainable transport modes and create a scheme which encourages pedestrian movement as set out in the Core Strategy Policy CS40.

#### Access and parking

- 6.3. The site is located on Lisson Grove, which is part of the B507 linking Marylebone Road just east of Edgware Road Tube station and heads up Lisson Grove, Grove End Road and Abbey Road before ending on Quex Road. The frontage has no vehicular access and is predominantly double-yellow lined, which permits loading. Bus stops to the north of the junction with Lilestone Street serve routes 189 and 139.
- 6.4. Pedestrian access to Penn House is from either Mallory Street which was adopted as public highway in 2010, or Lisson Grove. No off-street car parking spaces are provided, although eight on-street spaces are provided within the site, on Mallory Street. Servicing the building also occurs from Mallory Street.
- 6.5. Lilestone Street is accessed by a pedestrian entrance off Mallory Street. A private car park containing two garages and open car parking is located to the east of the building, accessed from Lilestone Street, where the building is also serviced from.
- 6.6. The 2011 Parking Survey indicates that the area is below stress level in terms of onstreet parking, with for example Mallory Street assessed as being 67% occupied during the day. Car parking provision required on site will depend on the proposed use or mix of uses. To deliver suitable levels of car parking across the site the Council will apply the car parking standards as outlined in the UDP policies TRANS 21-24. One way of assessing the accessibility of the site is by using Public Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL) rating, which takes into account walk access time and service availability. The method is essentially a way of measuring the density of the public transport network. Given the close proximity of a bus stop, and the short walk to the Edgware Road District and Circle and Bakerloo Line, and Marylebone station the site has a PTAL score of 6a (where 1 is the lowest, and 6b the highest).
- 6.7. Different uses will require different levels of parking and have different impacts on traffic and congestion in the area. In accordance with Policy TRANS14 an assessment is required to measure the cumulative impact the development of this site will have on traffic generation and congestion, parking, safety, public transport, cycling and pedestrians. The transport assessment will need to be cross referenced to the Environmental Performance Statement. A requirement of any proposal is to state the scheme will attempt to offset the traffic and congestion impacts of any proposal.
- 6.8. Policy TRANS 23 applies to off-street parking in residential developments. It states that for residential development, generally the Council will require a maximum

- provision of one car space per residential unit of two bedrooms or less, and 1.5 spaces for three or more bedrooms.
- 6.9. A development providing no off-street parking spaces for residents is unlikely to be acceptable given the probable consequential increased pressure on on-street car parking. It may be possible to use this sites high PTAL rating and level of public transport availability to demonstrate that lower levels of off-street parking are required to ensure no increased demand for on-street residential parking permits. The provision of off-street parking on another site may be a further appropriate way to provide for the needs of residents on this site. On-site car club spaces, or car sharing or leasing schemes could also be a consideration to take the pressure off car parking requirements on this site.
- 6.10. The council is currently reviewing its Strategic Parking Policies and its development management parking standards. The existing parking standards of the current UDP will be thoroughly reviewed in the light of revised Council strategic planning policies, including the cost of second, third and subsequent on-street parking permits. It will also take fuller account of the need to incorporate off-street and on-street facilities for alternative transport solutions to the car such as Car Club, Electric Vehicle, Barclays Cycle Hire and the increasing need to improve access to the London Bus, Underground and surface rail networks. The City Management Plan will develop these issues further.
- 6.11. In accordance with Policy CS40 the site should encourage sustainable transport methods. A contribution to this can be made through the provision of cycling facilities and vehicle charging points in the development. UDP Policy TRANS10 Appendix 4.2 sets the City Council's requirements for cycle parking.
- 6.12. UDP Policy TRANS 20 sets out requirements for off-street servicing. The policy states that convenient access for service vehicles, including emergency vehicles, to meet the demand of the development should be accommodated on site. It would be preferable for the site to have a single vehicular access point to minimise risk to pedestrians, and potential adverse amenity implications, and therefore for the site to be serviced from the parking access point. In order to ensure the open space to the rear of the properties is as attractive as possible, it may be appropriate to provide access to the basement parking and servicing area from Lilestone Street.
- 6.13. The scheme should look at the strategic neighbourhood delivery of projects such car clubs, electric vehicles, deliveries to the community, pedestrian movement and cycling across the Church Street area, how these link into the existing public transport services, and how the site can enhance the sustainable transport network.

#### 7. Planning Obligations

- 7.1. This brief aims to enable the development of the site to proceed in accordance with any requirements outlined in the brief and to ensure that that the brief meets the objectives of the Core Strategy (2011) and the saved policies in UDP (2007), Supplementary Planning Guidance and Documents alongside meeting the needs of stakeholders and statutory consultees.
- 7.2. Planning obligations are specific requirements a developer, the council or other parties must agree to undertake to allow a planning application to be granted permission. Secured through a legal agreement or undertaking, they are used to: mitigate the impacts of a development; prescribe the form it may take; or compensate for any loss caused by it. Planning obligations have a key role in managing the impacts of development on the public services and infrastructure that Westminster's residents and workers are reliant on. They can help to ensure the additional demands on the city's infrastructure and services arising from new developments can be met.
- 7.3. Planning obligations are therefore a key mechanism to support the achievement of the council's vision for the development of this site. This brief gives the opportunity to establish any necessary planning obligations required to mitigate any negative impacts that might occur as part of the development of the scheme. It also provides the early opportunity for stakeholders to outline their needs and requirements.
- 7.4. The policy approach to planning obligations is set out in Policy CS32 of the Core Strategy. The following list outlines the different types of planning benefits and policy requirements that may be sought from the redevelopment of this site:
  - Affordable housing
  - Provision of accessible and high quality social, community and cultural facilities
  - Quality public or communal open space
  - Contributions towards Education and Health related service provision
  - Contributions towards the delivery of Crossrail and other major public transport projects, which are joint strategic priorities for the whole of London if the proposal triggers the threshold
  - Public realm improvements
  - Play spaces
  - Highways works including via pooled contributions towards public realm improvements
  - Sustainability measures to mitigate environmental impacts
  - Management of construction impacts
  - High quality public art
  - Any other measures as required to ensure the specific planning policy objectives and targets set out in the development plan are addressed.
- 7.5. The council has produced guidance on planning obligations; the Supplementary Planning Guidance on Planning Obligations was adopted in January 2008. This document is currently being refreshed and later this year a revised Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will be published for consultation. Specific changes to this brief may follow as SPD is developed.

- 7.6. The direct impacts of a development are not limited to the development site. Developments will in most cases give rise to increased pressure on the council's infrastructure beyond this, such as the public realm and open spaces, including waterways, as well as the existing network of social and community provision. It is therefore appropriate for developer contributions to be sought towards improving or increasing this infrastructure. This will be via planning obligations – where in line with relevant legislation - or via a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). CIL is a charge on developments to pay for supporting infrastructure requirements in a local authority area; it will replace the use of planning obligations to fund non site specific infrastructure from April 2014 or on adoption of a CIL by the Council. The Mayor of London is also empowered to charge a CIL for strategic transport in addition to the current Mayoral Section 106 requirements for Crossrail; although the Mayor has set out a commitment not to 'double charge' via both mechanisms. If a CIL is adopted by either, or both, the council and the Mayor - charging schedules setting out requirements will be prepared and these will need to be taken in to consideration in developing proposals for this site.
- 7.7. There are likely to be further amendment to the CIL regulations in the autumn of 2011. The implications of any amendments will be reviewed as this planning brief is developed in accordance with relevant legislation.

## Contacts and Further Information

## CITY OF WESTMINSTER CONTACTS

Tim Butcher	City Planning: Policy, Local Development Framework and Planning Brief	020 7641 5972
Amanda Coulson	Development Management: Pre-application, Planning applications and Land Use	020 7641 2875
David Clegg	Development Management: Design	020 7641 3014
Joe Baker	City Planning: Sustainability	020 7641 1026
Sean Dwyer	Development Management: Highways	020 7641 3326
Jonathan Buckingham	Corporate Property	020 7641 1243
Fergus Coleman	Affordable Housing	020 7641 2129
Rebecca Cloke	Open Space / Public Realm	020 7641 3433
Patricia Dunstan	Housing and Futures Plan	020 7641 1151
Alan Wharton	Children's Services	020 7641 2911
Rosalie Dobson	Arboriculture	020 7641 7761

## **External Contacts**

Marco Torquati	Church Street Neighbourhood Manager	020 7641 8403

# Glossary

This glossary contains words, phrases and names of organisations that are mentioned in this brief and are relevant to the planning process.

Affordable housing

Subsidised housing at below market prices or rents intended for those households who cannot afford housing at market rates. The accommodation is usually managed by a Registered Social Landlord. The London Plan contains a more up to date and fuller version and defines intermediate housing.

Affordable rent

Rented housing provided by registered providers of social housing, that has the same characteristics as social rented housing except that it is outside the national rent regime, but is subject to other rent controls that require it to be offered to eligible households at a rent of up to 80 per cent of local market rents.

The definition of affordable rent is the subject of CLG consultation and will be dealt with during consultation.

Affordable business floorspace

Business accommodation at the lower end or below market value. This can include accommodation for B1(a), B1(b) and B1(c) as defined in the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments or retail units.

Amenity

The pleasant or advantageous features of a place which contribute to its overall character and the enjoyment of residents or visitors.

**B1** Use Class

Business – offices, research and development, light industry appropriate in a residential area in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments.

Biodiversity

The diversity, or variety, of plants, animals and other living things in a particular locality. It encompasses habitat diversity and genetic diversity. Arising from a belief that biodiversity is of value in its own right and has social and economic value for human society, international treaties and national planning policy expect local development plans to identify and protect a hierarchy of existing areas of biodiversity importance and to provide for the creation of new priority habitats.

C3 Use Class

Dwelling houses – family houses, or houses occupied by up to six residents living together as a single household, including a household where care is provided for residents in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments.

Character

The distinctive or typical quality of a building or area; as described by historic fabric; appearance; townscape; and land uses.

**Conservation Area** 

An area of special architectural or historic interest designated by the

local planning authority under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve and/or enhance.

D1 Use Class

Non-residential institutions – clinics, health centres, crèches, day nurseries, day centres, schools, art galleries, museums, libraries, halls, places of worship, church halls, law courts, non-residential education and training centres in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments.

D2 Use Class

Assembly and leisure – cinemas, music and concert halls, bingo and dance halls (but not nightclubs), swimming baths, skating rinks, gymnasiums or sports arenas (except for motor sports, or where firearms are used) in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments.

District Shopping Centre

Service centre, usually with up to one hundred commercial premises of various kinds, with a predominantly retail function, as designated on the Proposals Map and set out in Appendix 2.

**Entertainment Uses** 

Comprises A3 Restaurants and cafés, A4 Public houses and bars, A5 Takeaways, and other entertainment uses including D2 live music and dance venues and *sui generis* uses nightclubs, casinos and amusement arcades in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments.

There are some uses (for example *sui generis* private members' clubs, restaurants and casinos in hotels, and premises that contain a mix of retail and entertainment) where the nature of the use and its impact on the local environment is such that these will be considered under the entertainment policies.

The entertainment uses that are not considered within this definition are sports halls, swimming baths, gymnasiums, skating rinks, other indoor or outdoor sports or recreation areas, concert halls, cinemas and theatres, as these fall within the D2 use class.

General Permitted
Development Order

Regulations made by the Secretary of State, amended from time to time, defining a wide range of minor operation and changes of use which constitute development, but which can be carried out without obtaining specific planning permission.

Greater London Authority Regional government organisation established by the Greater London Authority Act 1999. It comprises a directly elected Mayor, a separately elected Assembly body, and a number of officers, including those within the wider Greater London Authority family of agencies including Transport for London, the Metropolitan Police Authority, the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority and the London Development Agency.

Highly Vulnerable

Comprising basement dwellings, police stations, ambulance stations

and fire stations and command centres and telecommunications Uses

> installations required to be operational during flooding, emergency dispersal points and installations requiring hazardous substances

consent as set out in Planning Policy Statement 25.

Residential accommodation, usually not self-contained, often for a Hostels

particular group of people and classified as sui generis uses where no significant element of care is provided in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments. This does not include 'youth hostels' as these are a

type of visitor accommodation (C1 Use Class).

Inclusive design Consideration at the design stage to ensure that development makes

> provision for everyone. Inclusive design addresses the needs of those with mobility difficulties, poor vision and other physical disabilities. Inclusive design also aims to meet the needs and convenience of others such as people with small children, those

carrying heavy or bulky items and the elderly.

Layout The way buildings, routes and open spaces are placed in relation to

each other.

Legibility The degree to which a place can be easily understood and moved

through.

**Listed Building** A building of special architectural or historic interest, as listed under

> s1 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. Listed Buildings are graded under the English Heritage classification to show their relative importance, with Grade I buildings being of exceptional interest, Grade II\* being particularly important buildings of more than special interest. Most Listed

Buildings are Grade II.

Local Development The plan-making system set out in the Planning and Compulsory Framework

Purchase Act 2004, and comprising of a number of documents as set

out in Figure 1.

**Local Shopping** Small centre, usually containing convenience goods shops, local Centre

service uses, restaurants, cafés and pubs, mainly providing facilities for people living or working nearby, as designated on the Proposals

Map and set out in Appendix 2

London Plan London's Spatial Development Strategy published by the Mayor of

London under the provisions of the Planning and Compulsory

Purchase Act 2004.

Major Shopping

Predominantly retail centre providing a range of services to a wide Centre

catchment area, as designated on the Proposals Map and set out in

Appendix 2.

Mayor of London A directly elected Mayor with a wide range of functions relating to

the governance of Greater London as set out in the GLA Act 2007.

Non-A1 retail uses

Comprises A2 Financial or professional services, A3 Restaurants and cafés, A4 Drinking establishments (not nightclubs), A5 Hot food takeaways in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments.

Open space

Includes all parks and gardens, regardless of size (whether public or private); the River Thames and the canals and their towpaths; civic spaces; children's playgrounds, including school playgrounds; ball courts and other outdoor sports facilities; amenity green spaces, such as open spaces on housing estates; churchyards; and community gardens.

PTAL

Public Transport Accessibility Level – a method used to assess the access level of sites to public transport.

Permitted development

Development which is granted planning permission under the terms of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended)(GPDO). This includes, for example, many changes of use such as a change from a restaurant (A3 Use Class) to a shop (A1 Use Class) as set out in the GPDO.

Planning obligation

An enforceable compact associated with the use and development of land. This may be either an agreement between a local planning authority and an organisation or individual having an interest in land; or a unilateral undertaking given by an applicant for planning permission. An obligation usually involves a restriction on the use or development of land; or a specific requirement about an operation or activity to be carried out on land; or a requirement that land should only be used in a specified way; or the payment of a sum or sums of money.

Planning permission

A written consent to the carrying out of "Development" issued by a local planning authority or, on appeal, by a Planning Inspector or the Secretary of State. The permission is normally subject to conditions and will lapse if the development is not started within a stated period of time. Planning permission for buildings may be in outline where the principle is approved, subject to the later submission of further applications for the approval of reserved matters.

Planning Policy Guidance and Planning Policy Statements Publication issued by the Government department responsible for planning, setting out the principles to be taken into account by local planning authorities when exercising their planning functions.

Registered Social Landlord Organisation registered with the Housing Corporation under the provisions in Chapter 1 of the Housing Act 1996. The organisations concerned may be housing associations which are registered charities, or non-profit making provident societies or companies. They must provide housing kept available for letting, and meet other requirements set out in the Act.

Residential development

Comprises C3 Dwelling houses in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments.

Registered Provider

Means a registered provider of social housing as defined in section 80(2) of the Housing and Regeneration Act 2008 and which is registered by the Homes and Communities Agency pursuant to Section 3 of that act and has not been removed from the register pursuant to Section 4 of that act

Section 106 agreement

An agreement made under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to secure a planning obligation.

Specialist housing

Affordable housing, hostels, Houses in Multiple Occupation, housing for those with special needs including elderly people, students, people with learning or physical disabilities, or mental health problems, or other supported accommodation. These fall within the C2 and C3 Use Classes, or are classified as *sui generis* uses.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment A document prepared by the local planning authority to provide information on areas that may flood and on all sources of flooding as required by Planning Policy Statement 25.

Sui Generis Uses

Those uses outside of any of the defined Use Classes in the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and its subsequent amendments, including those specifically listed in that Order as *sui generis*, including amusement centres, hostels providing no significant element of care, houses in multiple paying occupation, launderettes, nightclubs, petrol filling stations, shops selling and/or displaying motor vehicles, taxi and minicab businesses, theatres. This list is not a comprehensive summary of all *sui generis* uses.

Sustainable development

Development which meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. There are five principles of sustainable development shared across the UK: living within environmental limits; ensuring a strong, healthy and just society; achieving a sustainable economy; using sound science responsibly; and promoting good governance. Sustainable development is the core principle underpinning planning, including this Core Strategy.

Sustainable transport modes

Walking, cycling and other non-vehicular means of movement; public transport including rail, Underground, buses, coaches, passenger ferry, light rail/tram and licensed cabs; and high occupancy and electric vehicles.

# Appendix 1 – Background to the Futures Plan for Church Street, Paddington Green & Lisson Grove

## **Living City**

The Living City programme 2011/12 continues the council's vision of building a city which offers outstanding quality of life for residents, businesses and visitors. In particular it reiterates commitment to take forward Westminster's housing renewal programme, improving housing and the sustainability of properties, reducing overcrowding, tackling worklessness and the fear of crime. The programme also mentions the consultation on the regeneration of Church Street and Paddington Green.

## Westminster's Housing Renewal Strategy

In March 2010 the council launched Westminster's first citywide housing renewal strategy. The strategy is a long term commitment to improve the lives of the people of Westminster by delivering a range of quality affordable housing and vibrant, mixed communities which provide good places to live and opportunities for work.

The neighbourhoods most in need of renewal typically face a number of challenges including high concentrations of social housing; disproportionate levels of overcrowded living conditions; health problems and lower life expectancy rates; poor levels of numeracy and literacy which contribute to low levels of employment and economic activity, and higher than average levels of unemployment.

The strategy recognises that Church Street Ward suffers from the highest levels of unemployment in Westminster (8.8% - Westminster average 3.1%), and some of the highest levels of residential overcrowding (around 12% of households).

The strategy bases its solutions around five priorities:

- To increase the supply and quality of affordable homes to meet a variety of local needs, including housing for families
- To improve the quality of the local environment with outstanding green and open spaces and housing that promotes low energy consumption and environmental sustainability
- To promote a high quality of life for people of all ages and backgrounds in safe, cohesive and healthy neighbourhoods, supported by a range of high quality housing and excellent community facilities
- To enable people to maximise economic opportunity in Westminster with support for training, employment and enterprise, and housing tenures which help those in work to remain in the City
- To create a more distinct sense of neighbourhood, ending the physical divide between Westminster's estates and surrounding local streets.

The strategy highlights Church Street/Edgware Road (Church Street and Little Venice) as a priority area, and explains how urban designers were commissioned to develop a masterplan for how the area could develop in the future. That masterplan is now complete and has been endorsed by the council's Cabinet, and is known as the Futures Plan for Church Street, Paddington Green and Lisson Grove.

## The Futures Plan for Church Street, Paddington Green and Lisson Grove

Urban Initiatives developed the Futures Plan for Church Street, Paddington Green and Lisson Grove during 2010. The City of Westminster published the Preferred Option Report in January 2011, following the three main stages of production:

- Developing and collecting baseline data for the area to inform a set of indicators which can be developed to help measure change, between December 2009 and May 2010
- Testing initial impressions with a Big Ideas event in March 2010 and subsequent <u>Charter</u>, The Charter sets out the vision for Church Street and Paddington Green over the next 15 to 20 years, with an overarching objective to create a great neighbourhood with a strong sense of community. It was agreed and published in July 2010
- Developing options for various degrees of redevelopment before consulting on recommendations.

The Futures Plan <u>Preferred Option Report</u> for Church Street, Paddington Green, Lisson Grove, January 2011, provides the Housing Unit with a framework and action plan for transformational regeneration that will inform short, medium and long-term investment in the area. It identifies opportunity sites for redevelopment as well as seeking to preserve and enhance the parts of the area with strong character.

The Council recognises that the Futures Plan is a starting point in order to facilitate both discussion, and development of site-specific schemes. This draft planning brief, along with the other planning briefs being developed for specific sites within the Church Street area, set out the planning policy parameters for each site and where appropriate provide planning considerations on the Futures Plan proposals. The publication of these planning briefs as draft Supplementary Planning Documents will enable more detailed debate to take place on the various proposals for the sites.

The Futures Plan sets out a series of challenges and opportunities before establishing some urban design principles. It then makes a number of sustainability recommendations, including the development of an energy strategy to ensure the most efficient ways of powering and heating the development are delivered. It also recommends the production of a retail strategy. Both strategies are now being produced.

The Futures Plan's main focus, however is the provision of a range of new high quality housing of all tenures, together with refurbishment of 1,478 existing social rented homes. The main outputs of the preferred option, which may change as detailed designs are developed, are:

Total New Homes	776 (470 net gain)
Retail Floorspace	10,335m <sup>2</sup> (5,570m <sup>2</sup> net gain)
Office/Enterprise/Workspace	7,478m² net gain
Community uses	7,875m <sup>2</sup> (1,075m <sup>2</sup> net gain)

Four phases are proposed over approximately 20 years, and on 27 June 2011 Westminster's Cabinet agreed to progress Phase 1 to the next stage of development. All future phases will be reported to Cabinet for approval before proceeding.

Phase 1 would act as both a demonstration project, to show how implementing the masterplan will improve housing standards, and also provide decant options for residents affected by later phases. It also importantly delivers the first phase of a community hub, at Lilestone Street, to enable the children's Nursery at Luton Street to be relocated. In order to gain maximum benefit, including ensuring the continued provision of all community uses, the scope of Phase 1 has been widened from the recommendation in the masterplan, to include the Luton Street site.

The four interrelated sites that encompass Phase 1 have all have had planning briefs prepared and are (with reference to the relevant project number in Appendix A of the masterplan in brackets):

- Parsons North (A2.1)
- Lilestone Street (H1.1)
- Cosway Street (F3)
- Luton Street (C4)

The Futures Plan recognises that whilst the current housing stock meets current decent homes standards, significant future investment would be required to keep up with advancing standards, particularly with regard to access and thermal/energy performance, and to improve local health inequalities. Refurbishment is therefore also a priority for the Futures Plan, most notably in relation to phase 1 and these planning briefs, the refurbishment of Parsons House.

The preferred option contained within the masterplan for the site subject to this planning brief is for replacement perimeter blocks fronting Lilestone Street and Lisson Grove, containing social and community uses at ground floor level including:

- the existing Lisson Grove health centre,
- space for childcare services relocated from the Luton Street site (4 Lilestone Street),
- space for council services which would benefit from being delivered from one central location, and flexible community space that could be used for meetings or training.

Relevant extracts from the Futures Plan are attached below. The upper floor would provide space for 22 private and 15 affordable homes. The blocks would be up to seven storeys in height, stepping down to a single storey adjacent to the open space at the rear, with a basement car park.

Between February and March 2011, a 6 week period of communication and community engagement was carried out with those likely to be directly affected by proposals in the Futures Plan. The purpose was to raise awareness and understanding of the proposals, and to provide an early indication of local people's views towards them. Views from the Community was published by Paddington Development Trust in April 2011, and explains that 54 households commented explicitly on the proposals for a community hub. Of these, 42 were "positive" about the plans, the key reasons being:

- a feeling that a new and improved GP clinic is needed
- a belief it will help foster a sense of community

• a preference for services being located under one roof

12 households were "negative" about the plans, key reasons being:

- a feeling that a GP surgery as part of a larger community facility will make the service less personal
- a preference for services to be located over the area, rather than under one roof
- a suggestion that Lisson Green Health Centre is extended and another surgery built at a later date
- concern that better facilities may mean more people using them and making them more
  - crowded for current users
- opposition towards the demolition of Penn House and concern about the relocation of the elderly residents

# PENN HOUSE/LILESTONE ST EXISTING CONTEXT





This plot is located to the east of study area within the Lisson Green area along Lisson Grove. The site is defined by Harewood Avenue to the east, Lilestone Street to the north, Lisson Grove to the west and Rossmore Street to the south. The area includes recently refurbished residential blocks within the Lisson Green Estate and a number of community facilities including the Greenside Community Centre on Mallory Street and children's nursery facilities at 4, Lilestone Street. Penn House on the junction of Lilestone Street and Lisson Grove is a sheltered block.

#### ISSUES

- Frontage to Lilestone Street and Lisson Grove is poor with little animation of the streets at this important gateway location;
- 4, Lilestone Street is only partly occupied and is an unattractive building that does not fully utilise its site;
- · Anti-social behaviour to the rear of Penn House;
- Dated accommodation at Penn House (despite significant improvements to common areas) that may not met longer term needs; and
- Underutilised open space to the rear of Penn House and 4 Lilestone Street.

#### SCHEDULE OF ACCOMMODATION EXISTING

Building Ref. No.	Footprint	Building	GEA	GEA	A Ownership Total			Total	otal Unit Size For S/ SR Tenants					S/SR	
	Sqm	Height	Resi. Sqm	Non-Resi. Sqm	RL	NRL	S/ SR Tenant	No. of Units	ОЬ	1ь	2b	3ь	4b	5b	Hab. Rms.
H1.E01- Penn House	704	1/4	2,745	0	0	0	44	44	43	0	1	0	0	0	67.5
H1.E02 - Gayhurst House	721	6	3,975	0	16	0	16	32	0	0	3	9	4	0	69
H1.E03 - Fulmer House	1010	4/7	6,635	0	25	0	23	48	0	0	6	11	6	0	98
H1.E04 - Risborough House	753	4/7	5,061	0	16	0	15	31	0	0	4	7	4	0	64
H1.E05 - Greenside	454	1/2	0	798	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H1.E06 - 4 Lilestone Street	454	1/2	0	618	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4,096		18,416	1,416	57	0	98	155	43	0	14	27	14	0	298.5






#### OVERVIEW

PLOT REF. NO.	H1. E01-E04
Plot area (sqm.)	13,002 m2
Density (d/ha) / (hr/ ha)	121/415
Total homes	155
Av. unit size (gross)	118 m2
Plot ratio	1.5
Site coverage	31.5 %
PTAL rating	6a
Parking	Surface

# **FUTURES PLAN PROPOSAL**



#### PROPOSAL

- Redevelop the existing community facility at 4, Lilestone Street to provide the first phase
  of a community hub on this site with apartments provided at upper floor levels;
- The existing open space to the rear of the building to be enhanced for community use an roof gardens provided as part of development;
- Penn House to be re-developed to provide the second phase of the community hub with apartments provided at upper floor levels; and
- Penn House residents relocated in an extension of the sheltered facility on the Luton Street site (site C4).


#### SCHEDULE OF ACCOMMODATION PROPOSED

Building	Footprint	Building	GEA	GEA	Private I	nomes	Affordab	le homes	Total
Ref. No.	Sqm	Height	resi Sqm	Non resi Sqm	Existing	New	Socially rented	Inter- mediate	Units
H1.E02	721	6	3,975	0	16		16		32
H1.E03	1,010	4/7	6,635	0	25		23		48
H1.E04	753	4/7	5,061	0	16		15		31
H1.E05	454	1/2	0	798					
SUB-TOTAL	2,938		15,671	798	57		54		111
H1.1N1	718 (315)	3+2+1	866	2,154		4	2	2	8
H1.2N1	1,584 (836)	2+3+1	3,135	3,168		18	6	5	29
SUB-TOTAL	2,302		4,001	5,322		22	8	7	37
TOTAL	5,240		19,672	6,120	57	22	62	7	148

# AREA: H1



#### KEY



#### **OVERVIEW**

PLOT REF. NO.	H1.S1
Plot area (sqm.)	13,002
Density (d/ha)	114
Homes demolished	44
Homes refurbished	0
Homes retained	111
Homes new built	37
Total homes	148
Net unit gain	-7
Houses new built	0
Flats new built	37
Plot ratio	1.98
Site coverage	40.3 %
Parking	Basement

# $\label{eq:pendix 2 - Adopted priority groups for Intermediate Housing in the \ City of \ Westminster$

Social housing tenants	Social Housing tenants - all Council and RSL tenants living in
giving up their property	Westminster.
for nomination to WCC	
waiting list.	
Waiting List for Council	Homeless duty owed to re-house.
Housing	
	All other Council Housing Waiting List categories.
Westminster residents	Currently living in Westminster.
	(No pomination offer will be made until the term of residency is at
	(No nomination offer will be made until the term of residency is at
	least 12 months.)
People working in	People employed in Westminster.
Westminster	
	(First preference within this group given to key workers meeting
	Westminster's definition*, over other professions, and no nomination
	offer will be made until the period of employment in Westminster is
	at least 12 months.)

# Appendix 3 – Listed building description for 97-127 Lisson Grove

TO 2782 SW

CITY OF WESTMINSTER LISSON GROVE, NW1

33/4 (west side)

6.4.82 (except Nos 97 and 99) Nos. 97 to 127 (odd)

G.V.

Terraced houses (Nos. 97 and 99 with shops) set back behind front gardens. c.1820, Portman Estate development. Stock brick with stucco ground floors (channelled at No. 121); slate roofs. 3 storeys and basement; No. 127 with dormered mansard. 2-window wide fronts. Semicircular arched doorways to left with panelled doors and fanlights; Nos. 101, 105, 111, 113 and 127 have radial patterned fanlights and that of No. 121 is enriched with lead ornament. Recessed sashes, the majority retaining glazing bars, under flat brick arches to upper floors; architraves to ground floor windows of No. 109. Plat band over ground floor. Stone coped continuous parapet. Cast iron geometric and anthemion patterned balconettes to 1st floor. Nos. 95, 97 and 99 with altered pilastered shop fronts. Spearhead area railings. Part of long terrace range with Nos. 129 to 135 (odd) q.v.

## 1. Introduction

1.1 This statement sets out the City Council's position on Affordable Rent.

Section 4 sets out some guiding principles on Affordable Rent and Section 5 covers the affordability thresholds supported by the City Council. The statement is accompanied by a statistical appendix. Registered Providers (RP's) are expected to be in general conformity with the statement.

# 2. Background

- 2.1 The aim of Affordable Rent is to assist in the delivery of new social housing and provide an offer 'which is more diverse for the range of people accessing social housing, providing alternatives to traditional social rent<sup>2</sup>'. Affordable Rent is part of a package of measures announced to create a more flexible social housing sector. 'Local decisions: a fairer future for social housing' published in November 10 proposes a new council flexible tenancy, local authorities having greater control over who is able to apply for and is eligible for social housing and discharge of homeless duty into the private rented sector.
- 2.2 The changes are also accompanied by welfare reform, with a universal credit replacing current benefits from 2013. This will be capped at £350 for a non working single person and £500 per week for a non working couple or family.
- 2.3 Affordable Rent includes the following characteristics:
  - It is a form of social housing and is part of a new funding model for affordable housing delivery
  - From 2011/12, RP's will be able to convert vacant social rented properties to Affordable Rent at a proportion of up to 80% of gross local market rent (inclusive of service charges) for an equivalent property for that size and location. Local authorities will have this flexibility from 2012. The National Affordable Homes Programme 2011-15 Framework states that circumstances where less than 80% may be appropriate, are when rents are close to or exceed LHA caps, for regeneration schemes where prior commitments have been made and for some specialist housing in exceptional circumstances. Providers need to consider welfare reform in their proposals
  - Conversion of tenancies will be subject to agreement with the HCA and additional income must fund new supply. It is expected conversions in London will fund new supply in London
  - Affordable Rent tenancies will have minimum fixed tenancy periods of two years, but RP's will have the flexibility to grant longer tenancies. Where an RP decides not to reissue a tenancy they need to give advice and assistance on alternative housing
  - Affordable Rents should be set using approved RICS methodology taking into account, location, property size and condition. During the tenancy period, rents can increase by RPI +0.5 per year

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://www.communities.gov.uk/news/housing/1792375

- Housing Benefit payments for Affordable Rents will be based on actual rents.
   Local authorities will be able to discharge their homelessness function through the tenancy
- Lettings will be in the same way as for social rent although they can be targeted towards specific groups as long as allocations are framed around reasonable preference
- Affordable homes programmes must meet local priorities set out in local authorities local investment plans and the HCA will seek confirmation of support.

# 3. Westminster profile

- 3.1 There is high demand for social housing in Westminster and limited supply opportunities given high costs of land and shortage of development sites.

  Much affordable housing has been delivered through s106 agreements which include covenants restricting the type of affordable housing that can be employed on them, including restricting units to social rented units only.
- 3.2 There are currently c3500 households registered for social housing with priority. This includes c1,700 households in temporary accommodation and c1,000 existing tenants needing to move. On average around 40% of supply annually comes from the RP sector from new supply and relets.

Table 1: Westminster needs and lettings profile

	Demand from priority groups at May 11	Lettir	ngs 2010/11
		All Lettings	Of which were from the RP sector
0	416 (12%)	228 (18%)	79 (35%)
1	429 (12%)	436 (34%)	145 (33%)
2	1,417 (40%)	397 (31%)	189 (48%)
3	1,030 (29%)	207 (16%)	117 (57%)
4	248 (7%)	18 (1%)	6 (33%)
5+	37 (1%)	1 (0%)	0
Total	3,577	1,287	536 (42%)

3.3 The annual gross median pay from employment in Westminster is £39,951 and the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile is £22,880. This compares with a London median

- salary of £27,762 and a 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of £16,832<sup>3</sup>. Caci estimate the Westminster median income (from all income) to be £37,741 and the modal income band to be £20-25k.
- 3.4 The City Council does not hold up to date income information on households with priority on the housing register. In the main evidence points to them having low incomes. Ninety four percent of households in temporary accommodation receive some housing benefit and 69% of Westminster council tenants and 75% of RSL tenants are in receipt of housing benefit.
- 3.5 The Housing Needs Survey 2006<sup>4</sup> estimated 53% of households living in social housing had a gross annual income of £11k or less (uplifted by RPI this would equate to £12k or less in 2010). Small numbers were estimated to have higher incomes, with 9% (2,237 households) having a gross annual income of more than £47k (uplifted by RPI this would equate to £50.5k or more in 2010). Of these, 361 households had incomes above £68k (uplifted by RPI this would equate in 2010 to £73k or more).
- There are also just over 2,000 households registered for intermediate housing 3.6 in Westminster both for homeownership and intermediate rent products. Figure 4 in the Statistical Appendix shows the incomes of registrants for each bedroom size (only 10 households are registered for four bedrooms so the information is not shown).
- 3.7 Private rents in Westminster according to Hometrack, are the third highest in London (behind Kensington and Chelsea and the City of London). The City is covered by two Broad Rental Market Areas for LHA, with only one rent (the 1 bedroom in the Inner area) less than the LHA cap. There is significant market variation across Westminster - for example 80% of a lower quartile market rent for two bedroom property, (according to the GLA London Rents Map), is £564 per week in the NW1 area, £440 in the SW1 area and £316 in the NW8 area.
- 3.8 Figure 1 in the Statistical Appendix shows a range of incomes needed to sustain different rent levels without housing benefit.

<sup>3</sup> www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/ashe-2010/2010-res-la.pdf <sup>4</sup> The housing needs survey sampled 1,200 households across all tenures so the sample size

for social housing tenants was relatively small

## 4 Affordable Rent in Westminster – guiding principles

- 4.1 The City Council supports the following guiding principles for Affordable Rent tenancies:
- 4.2 The City Council expects to benefit from development opportunities generated through Affordable Rent in Westminster, either through increased supply in the borough, or through nomination rights to properties developed out of the City.
- 4.3 Affordable Rent should not reinforce long term benefit dependency and should reflect incomes that households could reasonably be expected to sustain at a future point without housing benefit. Lower quartile to median incomes of households registered for intermediate housing is a good indicator of this (particularly as it is these households that have often not been able to afford intermediate housing products in Westminster).
- 4.4 Affordable Rent tenancies should ideally be linked with support for non-working households to access training and employment.
- 4.5 Affordable Rent for replacement new supply is not supported on regeneration schemes where commitments have been made to residents that they will be offered similar tenancy conditions.
- 4.6 Affordable Rent tenancies for elderly households in sheltered accommodation is not supported as they have fixed incomes.
- 4.6 RPs should take account of wider welfare benefit policy and the welfare benefit cap when setting affordable rent levels and letting properties. Figures 4 and 5 in the Statistical Appendix estimate the possible impact of the welfare benefit cap on housing credit. This modelling is purely indicative and based on what is currently known about the welfare benefit cap which may be subject to change.
- 4.10 The disposal of properties which results in a loss of supply is not supported. Disposals are only supported if they result in direct benefits for Westminster residents.
- 4.11 The City Council should be involved in discussions between RP's and the HCA on the proportions of stock that are converted to Affordable Rent in the City and on rent levels.
- 4.12 Properties converted to Affordable Rent will need to contain features which will make them attractive to bidders in terms of location, tenancy terms size and quality. There is a danger that otherwise there will be a 'tenancy hierarchy' and bidders will wait for secure or assured tenancies at target social rents (at least until the new flexible tenancies come into effect).

4.13 Where there are s106 agreements which restrict relets to target social rents, RP's wanting to convert properties to Affordable Rent need to approach original private development partners and ask them to request variations from the City Council. The City Council will only agree to variations in accordance with this statement. It should be noted that the agreed price paid by the RP to the developer on an historical s106 site would have reflected a price based on target rents. It is probable that a developer would seek to renegotiate an overage in these cases to be paid by the RP to reflect that they now have the ability to charge higher rents. Any financial benefit that an RP might generate through Affordable Rent therefore could be constricted by overage payable to the developer.

## 5. Affordability thresholds

5.1 The City Council's expects Affordable Rent levels to be sustainable to households without housing benefit with incomes set out in table 2. The Mayors affordability guidance in the London Plan has been used, that net income is 70% of gross and housing costs should not exceed 40% of net income. The Incomes reflect lower quartile to median incomes of households registered for intermediate housing in Westminster and do not exceed the gross annual median income for Westminster.

Table 2: Affordable Rent Thresholds supported by the City Council

Beds	Gross Affordability Threshold*	Weekly Gross Affordable Rent Range
1	£25k-32k	£135 - £172
2	£27.5-£36k	£148 - £194
3+	£29k-£39k	£156 - £210

<sup>\*</sup>Based on net being 70% of gross and housing costs not exceeding 40% of net income.

- 5.2 Rent levels within the bands should reflect the quality and location of the property. The City Council will not support all Affordable Rent levels to be at the upper end of the bands.
- 5.3 For some larger non working households, Affordable Rent may not be a sustainable housing option given what is currently known about the welfare benefit cap. RPs will need to work with the City Council to ensure that

bidders are aware of the implications of the cap and lettings are considered on a case by case basis.

# 6 Relets and disposals

- 6.1 The City Council does not expect more than 25% 30% of Westminster relets in the short term to be converted to Affordable Rent tenancies at above target rents.
- 6.2 It is expected that RPs proposing to dispose of Westminster stock, or to move relets to Affordable Rent, provide an annual investment plan to the City Council setting out projections for disposals and conversions to Affordable Rent for the year ahead. Plans for housing investment in the City should also be included. The City Council will monitor progress against these plans and use them to support investment planning and to identify development partners.

## 7. Statement Review

- 7.1 This statement will be updated periodically to take account of changing income information and the City Council's demand profile.
- 7.2 For more information on how this statement was developed or to discuss RP disposals, conversions to Affordable Rent and investment plans contact:

Fergus Coleman - Housing Association Supply Manager

fcoleman@westminster.gov.uk 020 7641 2129

# **Affordable Rent Statement - Statistical Appendix**

Figure 1: Gross annual incomes needed for different gross rent levels without HB\*

	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
80% of median market rates**	£52,000	£77,257	£104,000	£230,286	£230,286
80% of lower quartile market rates**	£46,057	£63,143	£86,766	£141,143	£141,143
LHA cap	£46,429	£53,857	£63,143	£74,286	£74,286
80% of LHA cap	£37,142	£43,086	£50,514	£59,428	£59,428
60% of LHA cap	£27,857	£32,314	£37,886	£44,571	£44,571
50% of LHA cap	£23,214	£26,929	£31,571	£37,143	£37,143
Current RP rents	£24,514	£27,300	£28,229	£28,971	£29,714
Westminster			3+		
Affordable Rent Bands	£25,000 -	£27,500 -	£29,000 –		
	£32,000	£36,000	£39,000		

<sup>\*</sup> Based on guidance in the London Plan that net income is 70% of gross and housing costs should not exceed 40% of net income

<sup>\*\*</sup> From GLA rents map. Separate figures are not available for 4 and 5 bed properties.

Figure 2: Summary of different weekly gross rent levels

	RP Current	LHA				MARKET		AFFORDABLE RENT
Bedsize	RP - including HB eligible service charges*	50% of weekly LHA cap	60% of weekly LHA cap	80% of weekly LHA cap	сар	80% of lower quartile market**	80% of median market**	Westminster Bands
1	£132	£125	£150	£200	£250	£248	£280	£135-£172
2	£147	£145	£174	£232	£290	£340	£416	£148-£194
3	£152	£170	£204	£272	£340	£467	£560	£156-£210 (3 + )
4	£156	£200	£240	£320	£400	£760	£1,240	
5	£160 (estimated)	£200	£240	£320	£400	£760	£1,240	

<sup>\*</sup> Based on RP rents for new schemes. \*\*GLA London Rents Map. Separate figures are not available for 4 and 5 bed properties

Figure 3: Estimated income of Westminster social housing tenants (Housing Needs Survey 2006)




Figure 4: Gross incomes of households registered for intermediate housing in Westminster


Beds	Lower quartile	Median	Average	Total Registrants
1	£25,054	£32,000	£35,238	1,234
2	£27,500	£36,200	£41,659	672
3	£29,079	£39,196	£47,094	99

Figure 5: Estimated housing credit within the welfare benefit cap

	Single person	Couple	Couple + 1	Couple + 2	Couple + 3	Couple + 4
Credit (excluding housing credit)						
Single over 25/couple basic rates*	£67.50	£105.95	£105.95	£105.95	£105.95	£105.95
Child Tax Credit (Family element)*			£10.48	£10.48	£10.48	£10.48
Child element*			£49.13	£98.26	£147.39	£196.52
Child Benefit			£20.30	£33.70	£47.10	£60.50
Council Tax**	£9.92	£13.22	£13.22	£13.22	£13.22	£13.22
Total	£77.42	£119.17	£199.08	£261.61	£324.14	£386.67
Welfare benefit cap	£350	£500	£500	£500	£500	£500
Bed size***	0/1	1	2	2/3	3/4	3/5
Housing credit	£272.59	£380.83	£300.92	£238.39	£175.86	£113.33

<sup>\*</sup>Based on 2011 levels. \*\*Based on Council Tax Band D (it is unknown if any local benefit from local schemes which replace Council Tax Benefit will be included in the cap). \*\*\* Bed sizes will vary depending on landlord policy and age of children

Figure 6: Estimated housing credit within the welfare benefit cap



# Sources

### National policy documents

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004:

PPS 1 Delivering Sustainable Development January 2005

PPS 3 Housing June 2010:

PPS 4 Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth December 2009

**PPS 6 Planning for Town Centres** 

PPS9 Biodiversity and Geological Conservation August 2005

PPS 12 Local Spatial Planning June 2008

PPG 13 Transport January 2011

PPS 23 Planning and Pollution Control November 2004

PPS 25 Development and Flood Risk

### Regional policy documents

London Plan 2011

London Housing Design Guide (Interim Edition) August 2010

## Local policy and supplementary planning documents

**Church Street Ward Profile** 

Westminster Local Development Framework Core Strategy Adopted January 2011

Westminster Housing Strategy 2007-2012 (2007)

Westminster's Interim Affordable Housing Note (2011)

Westminster Noise Strategy 2010 - 2015 (2010)

Westminster Open Space Strategy February 2007


Westminster's Parking Review (2010-2011)


Westminster's Planning Obligations January 2008 and subsequent replacement anticipated in 2011


Westminster Unitary Development Plan Adopted January 2007

Westminster Way Supplementary Planning Document January 2011

Westminster's Draft Trees and the Public Realm








# MAP 3 Church Street Masterplan Phase 1:


1:1,250

Penn House and 4 Lilestone Street, NW8

Red Line Site Area: 4536 sqm (0.45ha)









MAP 5 Church Street Masterplan Phase 1:


1:1,250


Penn House and 4 Lilestone Street, NW8
















MAP 11: Church Street Masterplan: Open & Green Space around Penn House & 4 Lilestone Street Site Church Street Masterplan **Green/Open Space Type** Boundary Publicly Accessible Open Space Penn House & 4 Lilestone Street Site Green Open Spaces with private access **Tree Type** Hard Open spaces with private Tree Preservation Order Trees Tree Publicly Accessible Play Space 80 Meters 40 0 Priority Areas for Housing Land play spaces with informal play space restricted access Areas deficient in publicly Private Play Area accessible open space >0.4ha Reproduced from Ordnance Survey mapping with the sanction of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, Crown Copyright. City of Westminster LA100019597. J:\D\_City Planning Group\H drive group data\Brooker Laurence\GIS 9.3\Church St Masterplan


Waterway


suitable for informal play


MAP 12: Policy Framework and Designations: Penn House & 4 Lilestone Street Site
















Westminster City Hall, 64 Victoria Street, London SW1E 6QP Planning helpline: 020 7641 2513 www.westminster.gov.uk