I submitted numerous observations during the preparation of the plan. They seem to have been disregarded without explanation or contradiction.

General points:-

- Little attention to the southern part of Pimlico. For example, while Coal.UK was consulted Riverside Belvederes Management Company was not. Seemingly, neither was the management of the Icon, Eagle Wharf, Panoramic or Dolphin Square?
- Membership of any standing body to review planning applications should be confined to resident and should preferably be unpaid and should exclude architects with professional axes to grind.

Points relevant to southern Pimlico included:-

- The "elongated triangle" at Claverton/Grosvenor junction is outside the Forum area and the recommendation to use it for "pop up" restaurants is very unwelcome to nearby residents;
- If a cross-river cycle/pedestrian bridge is built (despite local opposition) additional care should be taken to avoid creating places of concealment (which might invite drug-dealing, robbery or assault)
- There is zero need for wider pavements in Claverton Street (although the WCC rubbish bins would be better located in a parking bay in Chichester Street)
- Churchill/Claverton area has high population density and low parking provision. Herringbone parking in Claverton Street would be a boon.
- Grosvenor Road needs something to curb dangerous cycling.
- The eastern part of Grosvenor Road needs an inbound bus service.
- Anything WCC can do to moderate late night noise from party-going river boats would be welcome

Colin Baker