**Reviews of Listing Decisions**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Property | Date added to the list | Date request for review received | Date review determined | Grounds for review | Outcome of review |
| The Clifton Hotel, 96 Clifton Hill, London NW8 0JT | 11th February 2015 | 8th April 2015 | 1st July 2015 | Decision not made within 8 weeks, criteria for listing an Asset of Community Value and Hotels are exempt from listing | The decision to list the asset was correct. |
| The Truscott Arms, 55 Shirland Road, London, W9 2JD | 29th April 2015 | 23rd June 2015 | 17th August 2015 | The nominator was not eligible, the asset was not eligible. | The property was removed from the list. |
| The Swan & Edgar Public House, 43 Linhope Street, London NW1 6LH | 6th May 2015 | 20th July 2015 | 11th December 2015 | Whether there is a time in the recent past when the actual use of the building or other land that was not an ancillary use further the social wellbeing or interests of the local community, whether it is realistic to think that there is a time within the next 5 years when there could be a non-ancillary use that would further the social wellbeing or social interests of the local community | The decision to list the asset was correct. |
| Prince of Wales Public House, 351 Harrow Road London, W9 3RS | 27th November 2015 | 22nd December 2015 | 6th June 2016 | The Original Decision Maker took certain matters into account which should not have been taken into account when reaching his decision;there is insufficient evidence that the whole of the Property is of a “community value” and therefore the application fails to satisfy Section 88 (2)(a) of the Act; and the Nominator has failed to establish that it is realistic to think that there is a time in the next five years that there could be a use of the Property which furthers the social wellbeing or interests of the local community; and. In the alternative, the Owner submits that the upper floors of the Property should not be listed as planning permission has been granted to create 6 new residential flats and the Owner is implementing that planning permission. | The property was removed from the list. |
| 143 – 145 Strand, London, WC2R 1JA | 2nd November 2018 | 14th November 2018 | 1st February 2019 | The Nomination is not a community nomination, because it is defective and theeffect of the defect is to render the Nomination invalid. The nominated land (assuming, without prejudice to Ground A, that the DecisionNotice correctly identifies the boundaries of the nominated land) is exempt fromAsset of Community Value listing by virtue of Regulation 3 and Schedule 1 of theRegulations. | The decision to list the asset was correct. |
| Soho Hospital for Women, 29-30 Soho Square, London | 8th November 2018 | 19th December 2018 | 13th February 2019 | The Nomination is defective as it does not meet the requirements of Regulation 6 of the Regulations in providing a description of the nominated land including its proposed boundaries and the Nominator has had two chances to put together the application. This omission therefore renders the Nomination invalid.The Property fails to satisfy the statutory requirements of sub-sections 88(1) and88(6) of the Act, and the council are therefore requested to reconsider the previous representation from the Owner dated 25th October 2018 and the additional points raised the letter requesting this review (dated 19th December 2018). | The decision to list the asset was correct. |
| Prince’s Square Gardens, Prince's Square, London W2 4NT | 19th August 2021 | 7th October 2021 | 29th December 2021 | The nomination is not a community asset because it is not accessible by the full communityThe nomination is redundant because the property is protected for use as a square by other designation | The decision to list the asset was correct. |