# S159: Millbank Estate Major Works Resident Consultation Meeting Service Provider's Proposals







#### **Blocks Included**

- Gainsborough House 1 & 2
- Wilkie House
- Morland House
- Maclise House
- Mulready House
- Millais House
- Reynolds House 1 & 2
- Hogarth House

#### **Works Included**

- External Repairs, Refurbishment, Lighting (including emergency lighting) and lateral mains replacement works. Fire Safety Works including Fire Rated Doorsets to Communal Areas and Tenanted Properties.
- The full scope of works is described within the Service Provider's Proposals.



#### **Background**

- A similar Major Works project was previously tendered in July 2016 using CityWest Homes' traditional tendering procurement route.
   However, due to numerous risks associated with the contract resulting from the options which were returned from the market, the need arose to revisit the procurement of this project.
- WCC are mindful of Leaseholders concerns surrounding this and the subsequent increases in costs.

#### Reasons for the abandonment of the Traditionally Procured Project

- Due to poor quality tender documentation, the tender bids were difficult to compare as they were not priced on the same basis.
- The lowest bids were submitted by Breyer and Mitie.
- WCC had experienced poor performance from Breyer on other projects. Regulations prevented contractor exclusion for previous poor performance but, ultimately, both bids were deemed to be non-compliant by WCC's Procurement Team.
- Any 're-price' by Mitie was also considered to be at risk of challenge.
- As a result of the above, it was concluded that the most efficient way to deliver this scheme would be through United Living under the Term Partnering Contract.



#### **Problems with Traditional Procurement**

- Regulations prevented contractor exclusion for previous poor performance.
- Projects were individually procured on a competitive basis meaning contractors had no incentive to invest in WCC or develop professional working relationships.
- Contractor performance was inconsistent, with projects often late and/or poor quality/and over budget.
- Relationships with contractors were confrontational and typically resulted in claims for extended preliminaries for delays (or LAD's).
- Emphasis on low price at Tender Stage, but costs often much higher at Final Account stage.
- Resulted in more staff time, meaning higher costs for Leaseholders.

#### **Objectives of Partnering**

In February 2018, WCC entered into a 10-year Term Partnering Contract with United Living (South) and Axis Europe (North).

- Reduce combined procurement and contract administration costs.
- Avoid successive tendering, procurements and waste across the programme.
- Improve accuracy of programming pre and post contract.
- Establish a relationship with contractors driving consistent performance across the programme.
- Maintain transparency with leaseholders.



## **Scope of Works Comparison**

Works Component	Mitie Tender	United Living SPP
Access Requirements	Included	Increased Scope
<b>External Decorations</b>	Included	Increased Scope
Internal Decorations	Included	Included
Communal Flooring	Included	Included
Window Repairs	Included	Increased Scope
Doors - Timber	Included	Increased Scope
Stack and Parapet Repairs	Included	Included
Roofing	Included	Included
Brickwork Repairs	Included	Included
Door Entry System	Included	Included
Communal External Areas	Included	Included
Asbestos	Included	Increased Scope
FRA	Included	Increased Scope
Misc inc. BWIC	Included	Increased Scope
Lateral Mains Replacement	Not Included	Included
LD2 Fire Alarms	Not Included	Included
Drainage	Not Included	Included
Provisional/Contingency/Risk	Not Included	Included



#### **Scope of Works**

- The scope of works has changed significantly since the previous tender exercise:
- The electrical scope of works has increased to include for the renewal of lateral mains into each property;
- The scope of fire safety works have increased in terms of number of fire doors included (without increasing costs as UL rates are more competitive);
- The scope of scaffold works has been expanded to include for staircase towers, weekly inspections and pavement licenses and alarms;
- External common areas, asbestos and drainage works have been added to the scope.
- As a result, there's no basis to compare the Mitie and United Living tenders.



#### Costs

- The Client Brief Budget was derived from the information gathered from the 2016 Tender Procurement.
- Client Brief Works Budget £3.9M
- United Living Project Costs £5.6M



## **Cost Comparison**

Work Element	United Living SPP Costs	Client Brief Budget	Variance	%	Pricing Methodology
Access Requirements	796,236.49	479,499.48	316,737.01	66%	Business Cases
External Decorations	297,455.79	179,268.72	118,187.07	66%	Priced NHF at tendered rates
Internal Decorations	214,963.29	169,320.09	45,643.20	27%	Priced NHF at tendered rates
Communal Flooring	136,502.70	97,754.43	38,748.27	40%	Priced NHF at tendered rates
Window Repairs	615,582.48	299,952.81	315,629.67	105%	Business Cases
Doors - Timber	478,727.00	503,667.22	-24,940.22	-5%	Business Cases
Roofing	162,168.42	98,690.21	63,478.21	64%	Priced NHF at tendered rates
Stack and Parapet Repairs	40,640.60	44,596.66	-3,956.06	-9%	Priced NHF at tendered rates
Brickwork and Concrete Repairs	256,470.00	181,213.41	75,256.59	42%	Business Cases
Door Entry System	154,500.00	111,168.60	43,331.40	39%	Business Cases
Electrical	649,855.00	915,647.44	-265,792.44	-29%	Business Cases
Communal External Areas	14,873.41	19,859.71	-4,986.30	-25%	Priced NHF at tendered rates
Asbestos	47,300.00	16,878.00	30,422.00	180%	Provisional Sums*
FRA	83,500.00	59,196.73	24,303.27	41%	Provisional Sums*
Drainage	137,100.00	25,971.54	111,128.46	428%	Provisional Sums*
Misc incl BWIC	33,500.00	5,198.00	28,302.00	544%	Provisional Sums*
Provisional Sums/Contingency/Risk	91,800.00	-	91,800.00		General Contingency*

<sup>\*</sup>Provisional items will only be expended if required, and at the explicit instruction of WCC.



How can WCC be sure that United Living's costs represent Value for Money to the Council and to Leaseholders?

- Any element of work that has been priced using NHF (National Housing Federation) Rates represents Value for Money as these rates
  were sought in a competitive tender procurement for the TPC (Term Partnering Contract) in 2017. Leaseholders were formally consulted
  in relation to this.
- Where United Living have priced any element of work that has been priced using Business Cases, they are required to present a number of sub-contractor quotations. In effect an 'open book' contract in the interests of transparency.
- United Living's Variable Profit is limited to 2% and is directly linked to their performance (i.e. resident satisfaction, finishing on-programme, completing on-budget, Health & Safety, etc.)
- It should also be noted that the TPC provides for what are in effect 'worst case scenario' costs, the opposite to the tendering basis upon which the Mitie tender was carried out which was an emphasis on award to lowest prices.



#### **Other Points of Interest**

- Scaffolding The cost increases can be attributed to the additional items now included for within the scaffold scope not previously
  allowed as noted previously, without which UL's costs would be within 10% of CB budget and less than Mitie's prices.
- Window Repairs extent of window repairs increased by 186% following tender survey of the estate and the detailed survey of windows, which highlights the issue with the previous documentation.
- Trades where savings have been offered over CB budget and/ or Mitie prices include chimney repairs, door entry system, electrical works and common external works.
- Preliminary costs have increased due to the increased quantity of window repairs required, so the duration of the construction programme increased from a 50-week programme at Client Brief stage to 103 weeks at SPP stage. This has had a consequential impact upon the associated preliminary costs but, in conjunction with United Living, we continue to explore the possibility to reduce this.



#### **Works Methodology**

- Once scaffold has been erected and access is available, each and every window will be jointly surveyed by WCC and UL in order to agree the extent of repairs.
- The location of all repairs will be recorded.
- WCC's Quality Management team (in conjunction with MEMO) will undertake inspections at every stage of repair (i.e. cut outs, repairs, decorations)
- The Contractor will only be permitted to continue to the next stage if the Quality Manager is satisfied.
- Photographic records will be taken throughout the process.
- In the interests of transparency, all information can be made available to Leaseholders upon request.

